Crandon Mine Project Environmental Impact Statement

FINAL SCOPING DOCUMENT

January 2002

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers St. Paul District 190 Fifth Street East St. Paul, MN 55101-1638

Contents

| Chapter 1 – In | troduction | 1-1 |
|----------------|---|-----|
| 1.1 Do | oleground | 1 1 |
| | ckground | |
| | ection of Appropriate NEPA Compliance Document | |
| | finition of Environmental Impact Statement | |
| | finition of Scoping | |
| | rpose and Need of Project | |
| | mmary Description of the Proposed Project | |
| 1./ Pro | pject Changes Since Scoping was Initiated | 1-4 |
| Chapter 2 – St | ructure of the Scoping Process | 2-1 |
| 2.1 Ra | tionale Used in Selecting the Scoping Process | 2-1 |
| 2.2 Ho | w Scoping Meetings Were Planned | 2-1 |
| 2.3 Ho | w Locations for Scoping Meetings Were Selected | 2-1 |
| | tification Processes Used | |
| 2.5 Me | eeting Dates, Locations and Times | 2-2 |
| | oping Meeting Attendance | |
| | ocess Used to Conduct Scoping Meetings | |
| | w Issues Identified During Review of the Draft Scoping Document | |
| | sults of Response Form Sent to Active EIS Mailing List | |
| | ow This Scoping Document Will Be Used | |
| Chapter 3 – So | cope of the EIS | 3-1 |
| 3.1 Ge | ographic Scope of Analysis | 3-1 |
| 3.2 Al | ternatives to be Addressed in the EIS | 3-1 |
| | .1 No Action Alternative | |
| | .2 Project Reduction Alternative | |
| Chapter 4 – So | cope of Issues to be Addressed in the EIS | 4-1 |
| 4.1 De | scription of Methodology Used to Analyze Scoping Input | 4-1 |
| 4.2 Iss | ues of Concern to the Public | 4-1 |
| 4.2 | .1 Air Quality | 4-1 |
| 4.2 | .2 Aquatic Resources | 4-1 |
| | .3 Archaeological Resources | |
| 4.2 | .4 Cumulative Impacts | 4-2 |
| 4.2 | 5. Ecosystems | 4-2 |
| 4.2 | .6 Environmental Justice | 4-2 |
| 4.2 | .7 Groundwater Hydrology | 4-2 |
| | .8 Groundwater Quality | |
| | .9 Health and Safety | |
| | .10 Indian Trust Assets | |
| 4.2 | .11 Land Use Plans and Conflicts | 4-3 |
| | .12 Mineral Resources | |
| 4.2 | .13 Noise | 4-3 |
| | | |

Contents

(continued)

| 4.2.14 Recreation | |
|---|----------------------------|
| 4.2.15 Socioeconomics | |
| 4.2.16 Surface Water Hydrology | 4-4 |
| 4.2.17 Surface Water Quality | 4-4 |
| 4.2.18 Threatened and Endangered Species | |
| 4.2.19 Traditional Cultural Properties | 4-5 |
| 4.2.20 Transportation | |
| 4.2.21 Vegetation | |
| 4.2.22 Visual Resources | |
| 4.2.23 Wetlands | 4-6 |
| 4.2.24 Wildlife | |
| 4.2.25 Wild Rice | |
| 4.3 Issues Eliminated from Further Analysis | 4-7 |
| List of Tables | |
| Description | Page |
| Description Table 2-1 Crandon Mine Project Scoping Meeting Attendance | |
| • | 2-3 |
| Table 2-1 Crandon Mine Project Scoping Meeting Attendance | 2-3 A-1 A-25 |
| Table 2-1 Crandon Mine Project Scoping Meeting Attendance | 2-3 A-1 A-25 A-35 |
| Table 2-1 Crandon Mine Project Scoping Meeting Attendance | 2-3 A-1 A-25 A-35 |
| Table 2-1 Crandon Mine Project Scoping Meeting Attendance | 2-3 A-1 A-25 A-35 |
| Table A-1 Summary of Consolidated Issues Table A-2 New Issues Raised During Review of the Draft Scoping Document Table A-3 Tabulation of Results of Issue Ranking from Response Form Table A-4 Issues Eliminated From Further analysis | 2-3 A-1 A-25 A-35 |
| Table 2-1 Crandon Mine Project Scoping Meeting Attendance | 2-3 |
| Table A-1 Summary of Consolidated Issues Table A-2 New Issues Raised During Review of the Draft Scoping Document Table A-3 Tabulation of Results of Issue Ranking from Response Form Table A-4 Issues Eliminated From Further analysis List of Maps | 2-3 |

Chapter 1 Introduction

This chapter introduces the Crandon Mine Project Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Scoping Document. It provides background information on the project and reasons an EIS was selected as the appropriate compliance document under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), provides a summary of the Proposed Project and defines the scoping process.

1.1 Background

The Nicolet Minerals Company (the Applicant) owns the property containing the Crandon ore body located 6 miles south of the City of Crandon, Forest County, Wisconsin. Exxon Coal and Minerals Company discovered the Crandon ore deposit in the mid-1970s. In 1978, Exxon submitted to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) a Notice of Intent to collect data to support a mining permit application. That permit application was submitted to the WDNR in 1982, and the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) subsequently determined that it had limited regulatory authority over the project. The WDNR prepared an EIS; the USACE determined that a Federal EIS was not required. In November 1986, the WDNR issued a final EIS; however, because of depressed mineral prices, Exxon withdrew its permit application.

In 1993 the Crandon Mining Company, a Wisconsin general partnership of subsidiaries of Exxon Coal and Minerals Company and Rio Algom Limited, was formed and re-initiated the project. The company was renamed the Nicolet Minerals Company (NMC) in January 1998, following Rio Algom Limited's purchase of 100 percent of the company.

In 1994 Crandon Mining Company submitted an application to the USACE for a Section 404 Permit under the Clean Water Act to discharge fill into wetlands in conjunction with developing and operating the mine.

1.2 Selection of Appropriate NEPA Compliance Document

The USACE filed a Public Notice on August 19, 1994 requesting comments on the development of a mine in the Crandon, Wisconsin area. This notice was in response to an application from Crandon Mining Company to discharge fill material in waters of the U.S., including wetlands to develop a mine and ancillary facilities to mine a mineral deposit known as the Crandon deposit.

The USACE received numerous comments and concerns on the proposed mine. Based on the analysis of the comments, it was determined that the proposed mining project could result in significant adverse impacts on the natural, cultural, and socioeconomic resources that exist in the vicinity of the proposed mine site. The USACE also has a Federal Trust Responsibility to the recognized Native American Tribes that may be affected by the proposed project.

The analysis, its Federal Trust Responsibilities, and revised USACE regulations since the 1980s led the USACE to decide that preparation of an EIS on the Crandon Mine Project was required under the terms of NEPA. The EIS process is the focal point of NEPA, which requires that an EIS must be prepared for all major federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. This decision was formalized in a decision memorandum dated September 16, 1994 and approved by Colonel James T. Scott, District Engineer, St. Paul District.

The USACE filed a Notice of Intent in the *Federal Register* (Vol. 59, No. 240, page 64652) on December 15, 1994 to prepare an EIS for the Crandon Mine Project. The USACE intention to conduct public scoping meetings was announced in the published Notice of Intent. Locations and times for the scoping meetings were to be published at a later date. The USACE identified itself as the lead federal agency in preparing the Crandon Mine Project EIS. The EIS will ensure that the USACE has the appropriate information and will carefully consider significant environmental impacts before making a decision about the Crandon Mine Project permit application under the Clean Water Act Section 404. The USACE issued a Public Notice of intent on December 23, 1994 to hold public scoping meetings. Two public scoping meetings were scheduled; one in Madison, Wisconsin and the other in Crandon, Wisconsin.

1.3 Definition of Environmental Impact Statement

An EIS is a written document required by NEPA to be prepared for "major federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment." Major federal actions are defined in the regulations implementing NEPA as actions "with effects that may be major and which are potentially subject to Federal control and responsibility" (40 CFR 1508.18). An EIS describes the purpose and need for an action, any alternatives that were considered in detail (including no action), the nature of the environment to be affected, and the nature and significance of the environmental effects of a proposed action and alternatives. Mitigation measures must also be described for any effects determined by the agency to be significant under the standards set in the regulations.

1.4 Definition of Scoping

Scoping is the public involvement process required by the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations to help federal agencies determine issues and alternatives that will be analyzed in the EIS. The scoping process provides the general public, organizations, Native American tribes, state and local governments and affected federal agencies an early opportunity to identify issues and concerns they believe should be studied in the preparation of an EIS. Scoping also provides mechanisms to focus the environmental analysis on important issues and concerns and identify issues and concerns of minor importance that require less discussion in the EIS.

An issue is a statement of concern about a potential impact that may result from implementing the proposed project. It also can be a statement proposing or suggesting a different or revised alternative way of implementing the proposed project. An issue needs to be stated as plainly and clearly as possible and must specifically identify the resource of concern and potential impact. Identified issues are used to develop impact topics for display and analysis in the EIS. Identification of data needs, concerns over models being used or parameters for those models, and concerns over how the EIS is being prepared or format of the EIS are not issues in terms of scoping.

1.5 Purpose and Need of Project

The Crandon Mine Project is needed to satisfy market demands for zinc, copper, and lead. The purpose of the project is to produce zinc, copper, and lead concentrates in an environmentally safe manner from Nicolet Minerals Company's private property.

1.6 Summary Description of the Proposed Project

The Proposed Action features (except for the wetland restoration area) are located in Forest County, Wisconsin approximately five miles south of the City of Crandon and two miles east of State Highway 55 and the Mole Lake


Indian Reservation, as shown on Map 1, Proposed Action General Location. The wetland restoration area is located 52 miles south of the mine site, in Shawano County (see inset on Map 2). The Proposed Action would recover and process zinc/copper/lead ores from an identified underground reserve approximately 4,900 feet long, 100 feet wide and approximately 2,200 feet deep. The projected Proposed Action life would be 35 years, including a 3-year construction and mine development period, 28 years of active mining, and a 4-year reclamation period. Estimated annual ore production would be 2 million tons, with an average mill feed rate of 5,500 tons per day (tpd) and total ore production over the mine life estimated at 55 million tons. The milling process would produce metal concentrates of zinc, copper, and lead, with minor amounts of silver and gold. At its peak, approximately 750 workers would be employed during the mine construction and development phase, and an estimated 402 to 526 workers would be employed during active mining operations.

Underground mining methods would be used. The mine would be developed and the ore extracted using mechanized blasthole open stoping with delayed backfill as the primary mining method. Three main shafts, connecting underground drifts (access-ways), and an underground ramp system would be developed in the non-mineralized adjacent bedrock to access the ore body. The ore then would be extracted by drilling, blasting, and progressive removal of large blocks of ore, creating large stopes (underground openings). During underground mining, a crown pillar (bedrock barrier layer) at least 100 feet thick would be maintained over the mining area to minimize the potential for surface collapse. The ore would be moved to the production shaft(s) and hoisted to the surface where it would be milled (crushed and sized) and processed to produce zinc, lead, and copper concentrates for rail shipment to an off-site smelting facility to recover the contained metals values. On-site processing would generate a pyrite tailings waste product that would be mixed with cement and pumped underground for placement as paste backfill in mined-out areas. Water requirements for milling and processing operations would be supplied from mine dewatering sources and recycling of tailings water.


The slurry of process water and finely crushed waste rock material remaining after processing (depyritized tailings), would be pumped through a pipeline to individual lined cells in the Tailings Management Area (TMA) for permanent placement. Placement of tailings in the TMA would involve controlled distribution of the tailings slurry over the surface of each cell to separate the tailings solids from the slurry and allow consolidation and drainage of the tailings. The resulting tailings water would collect in a lined pond and be pumped back to the ore processing facility for recycling.

The Proposed Action would consist of the following major features:

- Main Access and Internal Access Roads
- Railroad Spur Line and Soil Absorption System (SAS) Pipeline
- Power Transmission Line, Substation and Natural Gas Pipeline (these components would be located and constructed by the American Transmission Company (ATC) and the Wisconsin Public Service Corporation (WPSC))
- Communication Facilities (includes phone lines and radio transmission tower)
- Plant Site (includes mine, ore processing, water treatment facilities, and support facilities and mine access)
- Tailings Management Area (includes TMA access road, tailings and return water pipelines, Type II waste rock storage area, reclaim pond and stormwater retention basins)
- Soil Absorption System (SAS)
- Wetlands Restoration Area
- Surface Water Supplementation pipelines, discharge outlets and well
- Stormwater retention basins



Map 1
Proposed Action General Location



Map 2
Proposed Action Area

The Proposed Action area, surface projection of the ore body, major features and general facilities layout is shown on Map 2, Proposed Action Area. The Proposed Action area would encompass approximately 4,189 acres (of which 564 acres would be disturbed).

The proposed plant site is approximately ½ mile north of Little Sand Lake and 1 mile south of Swamp Creek. The proposed railroad spur connects with an existing Wisconsin Central Limited Railroad line approximately 2 miles northeast of the plant site in the vicinity of the proposed SAS. The proposed TMA is located approximately 1 mile east-southeast of the plant site. The proposed wetlands restoration area is located north of Shawano Lake on the Oconto-Shawano County line.

Mine closure and reclamation activities would be initiated in year 32 and require approximately 3 years to complete following cessation of active mining operations. The following Proposed Action features would be retained beyond the 3-year closure and reclamation period:

- Main access road
- TMA access road
- Non-contact runoff basins
- Process water piping from TMA to wastewater lagoons or water treatment plant
- Wastewater treatment plant, wastewater storage basins, and discharge lagoons
- SAS pipeline
- SAS facility
- TMA facility

In addition, drainage and sediment control structures would remain in-place and operational until the associated drainage areas have been effectively stabilized by a self-sustaining vegetative cover. At that point the structures would either be removed and the associated disturbance areas reclaimed, or be modified as appropriate, to remain as permanent components of the post mining drainage system.

The objective of reclamation is to re-establish the disturbed area as grassland with scattered patches of trees and allow ecological succession to occur in all reclaimed areas. Disturbed areas would be planted with indigenous plant species. Mixed hardwoods and conifers would be selectively planted in the plant site to enhance establishment of woody plant species. The reclaimed areas would be returned to the principal existing uses of forestry, recreation, and agriculture.

1.7 Project Changes Since Scoping was Initiated

The Crandon Mine Project has been changed by NMC since EIS scoping was initiated in 1995. The project description was changed in 1998 in response to comments and concerns received from the public and agencies. This section summarizes the primary changes NMC made to the proposed project in 1998.

The project description used in the 1995 scoping process did not include a grout blanket. In 1998, NMC added a plan for systematic grouting of the rock above the uppermost mining level to reduce groundwater inflow from the top of the underground mine workings. The grout blanket would reduce the potential draw-down of surface waters connected to groundwater in the area above and surrounding the mine. It would be installed from a drift or horizontal passage mined above the ore body and under the crown pillar about 260 feet below the ground surface. The 25-foot thick horizontal grout blanket would be developed by drilling holes in fan patterns at regular intervals from the grout drift and injecting grout through the holes in fractures in the rock as mine development progresses.

The project description used in the 1995 scoping process discussed storage of all tailings in the tailings management area. In 1998, NMC added a pyrite recovery circuit to the ore-processing plan to produce depyritized tailings and pyrite concentrate. Pyrite is a sulfide mineral contained in the ore that can generate acid drainage conditions when exposed to oxygen and water. The pyrite concentrate would be mixed with cement and returned to mined-out areas of the underground mine as a paste back-fill for permanent storage. Following re-flooding of the back-filled mine, the water would prevent oxygen from oxidizing the cemented pyrite concentrate. The depyritized tailings would be stored in the tailings management area and would reduce the risk of acid generation within the tailings. This change also would decrease the size of the tailings management area by approximately 30 percent because the cemented pyrite concentrate would be stored in the mine rather than in the tailings management area.

The project description used in the 1995 scoping process considered a pipeline to the Wisconsin River for discharging treated wastewater from the mining operation. In 1998, NMC proposed the soil absorption system (SAS) for treated wastewater discharge instead of the pipeline to the Wisconsin River. The in-ground SAS (see Map 1) would consist of six cells fed by pressure distribution pipes to discharge the treated wastewater into the groundwater. A treated wastewater discharge pipeline would be constructed in the proposed rail spur line corridor to convey the effluent from the advanced wastewater treatment plant to the SAS site north of Swamp Creek. The discharged water would flow into the Swamp Creek watershed and groundwater basin.

Structure of the Scoping Process

This chapter describes the structure of the scoping process for the Crandon Mine Project Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). It provides the rationale used in selecting the scoping process; describes how scoping meetings were planned and how locations for scoping meetings were selected; identifies the notification processes used and meeting locations, dates and times; summarizes attendance at the meetings; and describes the process used to conduct scoping meetings.

2.1 Rationale Used in Selecting the Scoping Process

The key federal action is the decision to issue or deny an application for a 404 permit under the Clean Water Act. Based on this fact, the decision was to use the normal U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) permit information gathering and evaluation process for the EIS scoping process.

2.2 How Scoping Meetings Were Planned

The meetings were planned as public hearings. A USACE official acted as the hearings official and a court recorder was hired to record the proceedings verbatim. A short introduction was given by the hearing official and then those in attendance who had indicated a desire to speak were called. Speaker time limits were not set and each speaker was provided as much time as needed to present their remarks.

2.3 How Locations for Scoping Meetings Were Selected

Locations for the scoping meetings were selected based on public interest in the project, proximity to areas where the public may be most affected by potential project features, and adequacy of meeting facilities for the anticipated audience. One public meeting was held in Crandon, Wisconsin, which is 5 miles north of the project area. The second public meeting was held in Madison, Wisconsin, the state capital, where the majority of state agencies are located.

In addition to the two public meetings, tribal scoping meetings were held at each of the reservations of Native American tribes that potentially could be most affected by the project. Meetings were held at the Mole Lake Reservation for the Sokaogon-Chippewa Community; Menominee Reservation at Keshena, Wisconsin for the Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin; Forest County Potatwatomi Community Tribal Offices near Crandon, Wisconsin; and Mille Lacs, Minnesota for the Great Lakes Indian Fish & Wildlife Commission and the 11 Chippewa tribes that retained in the Treaties of 1837 and 1842 usufructuary rights to hunt, fish, and gather in the ceded territory of Northern Wisconsin.

2.4 Notification Processes Used

The USACE issued a Public Notice announcing the two public scoping meetings on December 23, 1994. This notice was mailed to an extensive mailing list. The mailing list is available from the St. Paul District, Corps of Engineers, Army Corps of Engineers Centre, 190 Fifth Street East, St. Paul, MN 55101-1638.

2.5 Meeting Dates, Locations and Times

January 31, 1995 Madison Concourse Hotel, Madison, Wisconsin 3:00 to 7:48 pm

February 7, 1995 Crandon City Hall, Crandon, Wisconsin Evening

March 29, 1995 Mole Lake Reservation, Mole Lake Wisconsin 12:00 noon to 7:30 pm

June 26, 1995 Forest County Potawatomi Community Tribal Office, Crandon, Wisconsin 10:00 am to 12:08 pm

June 26, 1995 St. Michael's Parish Hall, Keshena, Wisconsin 5:18 to 10:00 pm

April 4, 1996 Grand Casino Mille Lacs, Mille Lacs, Minnesota 11:30 am to 12:25 pm

2.6 Scoping Meeting Attendance

A number of formal and informal scoping meetings were held. Table 2-1 shows the number of persons attending each of the scoping meetings. Attendance was counted by names on the sign-in cards or attendance sheets. Attendance is not available (NA) for some of the meetings. The number of speakers at each of the formal meetings also is shown.

| Table 2-1 Crandon Mine Project Scoping Meeting Attendance | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|----|--|--|
| Meeting Date Meeting Location Attendance Number of Speakers | | | | | |
| January 31, 1995 | Madison, Wisconsin | 171 | 36 | | |
| February 7, 1995 | Crandon, Wisconsin | 130 | 37 | | |
| March 29, 1995 | Mole Lake Reservation | 53 | 34 | | |
| June 26, 1995 | Menominee Reservation, Keshena, Wisconsin | 60 | 31 | | |
| June 26, 1995 | Forest County Potatwatomi Tribe, Crandon, Wisconsin | NA | 17 | | |
| July 18, 1995 | St. Paul, Minnesota | NA | NA | | |
| April 4 1996 | Mille Lacs, Minnesota | NA | 7 | | |

2.7 Process Used to Conduct Scoping Meetings

The scoping meetings were conducted as formal hearings. Everyone who attended the public scoping meetings was requested to fill out an attendance card and to indicate if they wanted to make a presentation. The attendance card also had space for the person to record any comments they wished to make. Attendance was not taken at all the tribal scoping meetings. Personnel from the St. Paul Office of the USACE acted as the hearing officer at each meeting. They made brief opening remarks at each of the meetings and then called on those who had indicated an interest in making a presentation. The remarks made by the attendees were recorded by a court reporter. The court reporter provided a transcript of the proceedings to the USACE.

2.8 New Issues Identified During Review of the Draft Scoping Document

During the public review of the Draft Scoping Document, some issues already listed were identified again in letters that were not reviewed prior to submitting the Draft Scoping Document. Additionally some new resource issues were identified. The number of times an issue was identified shown in Table A-1 has been revised to reflect the total number of times each issue was identified in all letters reviewed. The revised number is shown in bold in Table A-1. Table A-2 lists the new issues by resource category.

2.9 Results of Response Form Sent to Active EIS Mailing List

The Regulatory Branch of the St. Paul Office of the USACE sent out 289 copies of the Draft Scoping Document and Response Form on March 28, 2001. The Draft Scoping Document and Response Form were mailed to agencies, organizations and persons requesting notification regarding wetland permit activities in Minnesota and Wisconsin, the Crandon Project mailing list, the NMC EIR distribution list and the NMC adjacent land owners list. In some cases, people/organizations were on more than one list. In those cases, duplicates were eliminated when preparing the master mailing list.

The Response Form asked interested parties to identify the 5 most important resource categories and the top 3 issues in each resource category related to the Crandon Mine Project. Of the 289 Response Forms sent out, 19 were returned. The results of the issue ranking from the returned Response Forms are listed in Table A-3. The table shows the number of times each resource was identified as a primary concern and the number of times a

particular issue related to that resource was identified as important to the respondents. Many of the 19 respondents listed specific resource categories and related issues of concern but also documented they believe all issues are important and should be addressed in the EIS.

2.10 How This Scoping Document Will Be Used

For a complex project such as the Crandon Mine Project EIS, it is important to define at the outset what specific environmental studies need to be reviewed or conducted before a decision is made. This document, based on oral and written input from Federal, State, local agencies, affected Native American tribes, and other interested persons, describes the scope of actions, alternatives, and issues to be studied in the Crandon Mine Project EIS. The team preparing the EIS will use the results contained in this document to assist them in focusing their analysis on the issues.

Chapter 3 Scope of the EIS

This chapter describes the scope of alternatives to the proposed action that would be addressed in the Crandon Mine Project Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). It presents the geographic scope of the analysis and briefly describes the No Action alternative and a project reduction alternative. The applicant's Proposed Project is described in Chapter 1, Section 1.6.

3.1 Geographic Scope of Analysis

The geographic scope of analysis varies by resource category. The preliminary determination of impact area of influence for each resource category would be expanded or reduced as necessary upon further impact analysis. The direct and indirect impacts of the project would be analyzed and traced to the point where they can no longer be identified or become insignificant. The geographic scope of analysis for air quality would include an area encompassed by a 30-mile radius from the mine site. The geographic scope of analysis for archeological, historical and visual resources would include a 6-mile radius from the mine site, plus associated transportation and utility corridors. The geographic scope of analysis for aquatic biological, groundwater hydrology, groundwater quality and vegetation resources would include the upper portion of the Wolf River drainage, including the Swamp Creek, Pickerel Creek and Hemlock Creek watersheds, Rice Lake, and the soil absorption system area (SAS). This area has an approximate 2-mile radius. The geographic scope of analysis for land use plans, health and safety, recreation, socioeconomic and transportation resources includes Forest, Oneida and Langlade counties. The geographic scope of analysis for ecosystem resources and threatened and endangered species would include the area to be directly affected by the plant site, access road, railroad spur, tailings management area, soil absorption area, and any other areas disturbed by construction and mining activities. The geographic scope for these resources would also extend down the Wolf River to Keshena. The geographic scope of analysis for mineral resources would be limited to the project boundaries. The geographic scope of analysis for noise and vibrations would include the project site and extend to a distance at which noise and vibration effects would no longer be detectable, approximately a 3.5-mile radius from the mine site. The geographic scope of analysis for traditional cultural properties would extend at a minimum from Lake Metonga on the north, west to the Wolf River and Post Lake, south along the Wolf River to Shawano and east to the Wisconsin Central Limited Railroad line (the line has been abandoned south of its Swamp Creek Crossing). This would also include any identified traditional cultural property that may be impacted by any direct or indirect impact of the proposed project. The geographic scope of analysis for surface water hydrology and surface water quality would include the Swamp Creek - Rice Lake and Pickerel Creek - Rolling Stone Lake drainage basins and the wetland restoration area watershed. The geographic scope of analysis will be further refined as the impact area of analysis is determined for each resource

3.2 Alternatives to be Addressed in the EIS

The following sections briefly describe the alternatives to the proposed action to be addressed in the EIS. Within each of the action alternatives, design alternatives consisting of alternate sites for proposed project facilities, alternate service routes, mine design and operation alternatives, alternate mitigation measures, and closure and post-mining use alternatives would be addressed in the EIS.

3.2.1 No Action Alternative

The No Action alternative is the most probable future if the Clean Water Act Section 404 permit application is denied and no mine would be constructed and operated at the Forest County property owned by Nicolet Minerals Company (NMC).

3.2.2 Project Reduction Alternative

The Project Reduction Alternative would involve mine closure following mining of the zinc ore phase. This could occur if the anticipated economic return on metal concentrates that would be produced during the copper ore phase does not justify further operations. Mining would be terminated after completing the zinc ore phase in approximately project year 19. The copper ore body consisting of approximately 25 million tons would be left intact. Metal concentrates under the 15-year zinc ore phase would be produced and shipped to an off-site smelter by rail car.

Chapter 4 Scope of Issues to be Addressed in the EIS

This chapter describes the scope of issues to be addressed in the Crandon Mine Project Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). It describes the methodology used to analyze the scoping input, briefly describes issues of concern to the public, and presents issues eliminated from further analysis.

4.1 Description of Methodology Used to Analyze Scoping Input

All information received by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) during the scoping process was reviewed in detail to identify issues to be considered during the preparation of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). This information was comprised of transcripts made at the public meetings held by the USACE, written letters (including form letters and cards) of comments to the USACE, and the public meeting summaries from the meetings held by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR). Issues that met the criteria (see Chapter 1, Section 1.4 of this document) were identified and recorded. The resource category that the issue pertained to also was identified along with how many times the issue was raised. In some cases, the statements made by the commentor had to be interpreted and modified to clearly identify the issue. When possible, the issue was restated in the form of a question that could be answered in the EIS. In some cases, the issue dealt with several resource categories. In these cases the issue was repeated under each appropriate resource category. The next step was to review each issue and combine similar issues into one (see Tables A-1 and A-2 in Appendix A). The number of times each issue was raised under each resource category was counted and recorded.

4.2 Issues of Concern to the Public

4.2.1 Air Quality

Air quality includes issues about particulates, chemical emissions, radioactivity, and air-shed re-designation. Particulate issues include impacts from dust, rail spur line construction and operation, the TMA and other ore and waste handling facilities, heavy metals, and burning of coal to produce electricity for the mine. Chemical emission issues include impacts from heavy metals causing acid rain, chemicals and reagents used in ore processing, and odors associated with the project. The radioactivity issue is focused on radioactivity impacts on air quality from the project. The air-shed re-designation issue is focused on impacts the mine would have on the Class I air re-designation over the Forest County Potawatomi Reservation.

4.2.2 Aquatic Resources

The aquatic resource includes issues about fish and aquatic ecosystems, the impacts of contaminants and changes in flows and temperature, and mitigation. Fish and aquatic ecosystem issues include impacts in area streams and lakes (Swamp Creek, Rice Lake, and other waters on and off the Mole Lake Reservation), and inter-jurisdictional fish species. Contaminant issues include indirect impacts on aquatic resources from chemical and reagent spills and/or leaks, acid rock drainage and bio-accumulation of heavy metals, treated mine wastewater effluent, and chlorine compounds. Flow-change issues include impacts on aquatic life from lowered and erratic streamflow. Temperature changes include an increase in temperature that could affect aquatic species. Mitigation issues include measures to mitigate potential loss of aquatic species in Creeks 12-09 and 11-04, Upper Pickerel Creek, and Martin Springs, measures to mitigate fish kills in Rolling Stone Lake from groundwater drawdown, and

coordination of mitigation efforts with non-degradation standards in the Town of Ainsworth and Langlade County.

4.2.3 Archaeological Resources

Archaeological resources include issues about historic, cultural, and archaeological resources. Historic issues include impacts on National Register of Historic Places (NHRP) eligible sites located off the Mole Lake Reservation and/or on the NMC property, along transportation and utility corridors, and impacts from mine wastewater discharges and erosion. Cultural issues include cultural resource impacts on property controlled by NMC, on Native American burial sites within the Mole Lake Reservation, and along transportation and utility corridors, and impacts from mine wastewater discharges. Archaeology issues include impacts on property controlled by NMC, on archaeological properties within the Mole Lake Reservation, along transportation and utility corridors, and on archaeological resources from erosion.

4.2.4 Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative impacts include but are not limited to issues about mining, ore processing, Native American tribes, and water. Mining issues include cumulative impacts of the project on mining across northern Wisconsin, increased exploration, supporting industries, and existing, planned and foreseeable mining activities. Ore processing issues include using NMC facilities at the Crandon mine for processing ore from other future mines, and smelting ore concentrates at an existing regional smelter. Native American tribe issues are focused on cumulative environmental impacts in the Chippewa ceded territory. Water issues include potential cumulative impacts on water quality in the Wolf River from discharges of treated mine wastewater and cumulative impacts from interrelated water projects in northern Wisconsin.

4.2.5 Ecosystems

Ecosystem includes issues about the Wolf River, wetlands, and the total ecosystem. Issues include impacts on the Wolf River ecosystem, federal natural resources and the total ecosystem, physical/chemical/biological impacts on the ecosystem, and ecosystem impacts during the lapse of time between filling functioning wetlands and development of mitigation wetlands.

4.2.6 Environmental Justice

Environmental justice is focused on the potential for the mine to cause disproportionate risk to Native American Tribes in terms of demographic, geographic, economic, and human health and risk factors, cultural and ethnic differences, and historic and policy issues.

4.2.7 Groundwater Hydrology

The groundwater hydrology resource includes issues about groundwater drawdown, discharge, recharge, and potential impacts of mitigation. Drawdown issues include the extent of the cone of depression from mine dewatering, changes in groundwater levels affecting shallow lakes and streams, potential impacts on private water supply wells, and how the groundwater flow directions could change. Discharge issues include interruption of groundwater supply to streams, lakes, and wetlands connected to the pre-mining water table. Recharge issues include applying treated mine wastewater through the proposed Soil Absorption System (SAS), changing groundwater flow directions at the SAS, recharge periods in the affected aquifer, and post-mining recovery of the re-flooded mine. Mitigation issues include the proposed grouting plan to control groundwater inflows, measures to protect private wells, and impacts of mitigation well pumping to supplement flows affected streams.

4.2.8 Groundwater Quality

The groundwater quality resource includes issues about groundwater contamination, existing and future well contamination, geochemistry, and mitigation. Contamination issues include spills or leaks from the Tailings Management Area (TMA), impacts on private and Tribal wells, acid mine drainage and solute transport during and after mining, and potential release of chemicals, reagents, explosives, and petroleum products into the groundwater. Geochemistry issues include potential changes in groundwater quality from applying treated mine wastewater to the SAS, impacts of groundwater recharge through affected wetlands, and groundwater discharge from affected wetlands. Mitigation issues include impacts from grouting cement and supply of potable water if public and private wells become contaminated.

4.2.9 Health and Safety

Health and safety includes issues about Native Americans, local residents, and workers. Native American issues include health impacts from increases in inhaled and ingested contaminants, noise, traffic, toxic chemical and reagent spills, primary and secondary contact with contaminated water, electrical transmission lines, mental anguish, stress, and anxiety associated with the mining project. Local resident issues are almost the same as listed for Native Americans, except local residents may not have as much of a subsistence diet as potentially affected Native Americans and therefore would not be as susceptible to ingested contaminants. Worker issues include health and safety working in and around the mine site and potential disasters over the life of the mine.

4.2.10 Indian Trust Assets

Indian Trust Assets include on- and off-reservation issues about water, fishing, hunting, gathering, and other resources guaranteed by Treaty rights. Water issues include impacts on Native American reserved water rights, on the Wolf River, contamination of surface and/or groundwater from a leak or spill, and other Treaty rights related to water. Fishing issues include impacts on subsistence, harvest and ceremonial use of fish and other aquatic resources, contaminants affecting fish and other aquatic resources, and other Treaty rights related to fishing, fish and other aquatic resources. Hunting issues include impacts on subsistence, harvest and ceremonial use of game animals, contaminants affecting game and other wildlife species, and other Treaty rights related to hunting and wildlife species. Gathering issues include impacts on subsistence, harvest and ceremonial use of wild rice, other plants, and medicines, contaminants affecting wild rice, other plants, and medicines, and other Treaty rights related to gathering wild rice, other plants, and medicines.

4.2.11 Land Use Plans and Conflicts

Land use plans and conflict issues include conformance of the project to Langlade County zoning ordinances and the Town of Ainsworth metallic mining regulations.

4.2.12 Mineral Resources

Mineral resource issues include impacts on existing mineral rights and claims, on ore bodies adjacent to the proposed mine site, and impacts from mining and processing unanticipated elements.

4.2.13 Noise

Noise issues include impacts on noise from increased truck traffic on local roads, mining activities, construction and operation of the rail spur line, pump stations, air vents and ventilation systems, and siting noise generators near sensitive receptors (private residences, schools, shops, and others).

4.2.14 Recreation

Recreation includes issues about the Wolf River, tourism, and water. Wolf River issues include impacts on its designation as an outstanding resource water and a Wild and Scenic River, fishing recreation, and protecting the state's investment in acquired shorelines and expenditures in trout populations. Tourism issues include impacts on regional tourism, and impacts from highway expansion resulting from the project. Water issues include indirect impacts on recreation from water quality degradation and groundwater drawdown affecting lake levels and stream flows.

4.2.15 Socioeconomics

Socioeconomics includes issues about economics, industries, services, Native American communities, employment, population, housing and property, and tax revenue and expenditures. Economic issues include changes on community economics, boom and bust economy, impacts on county and northern Wisconsin economics, changes in wholesale and retail sales, impacts on small businesses and entrepreneurs, sustainable economics, and effects of contaminants on the economy. Industry issues include impacts on tourism from water pollution, noise, and changes in Wolf River flows, and impacts on the forestry, wood products, and agriculture industries. Service issues include demands on government, health services, social services, human services, roads, power, schools, law enforcement, fire protection, and solid waste disposal during and after the project. Native American community issues include impacts on social and economic systems, cultural, spiritual, well-being, and subsistence aspects of Native American life, racism in schools, loss or decline of wild rice production, and changes in utilities, housing, employment, and income during and after the project. Employment issues include changes in seasonal employment, competition with other businesses for skilled workers, and regional changes in non-urban employment. Housing and property issues include impacts on seasonal and year-round housing demand, changes in property values, effects on affordable housing as regional development occurs, and displacement of low- and moderate-income residents from homes and property, during and after the project. Tax revenue and expenditure issues include changes in government and school district taxes and spending, and true tax impacts from increased crime, welfare, social and health services, law enforcement, jails, and unemployment.

4.2.16 Surface Water Hydrology

The surface water hydrology resource includes issues about watersheds, stream and river flows, lakes, mine water discharges, erosion and sedimentation, and mitigation. Watershed issues include impacts on flows in the Swamp Creek, Pickerel Creek, Lily River, and Wolf River watersheds. Stream and river issues include changes in flows from groundwater drawdown, discharges from the SAS, and magnified impacts under flooding and drought conditions. Lake issues include changes in water levels and areas from groundwater drawdown, maintenance of Public Rights stages, and magnified impacts under drought conditions. Mine water discharge issues include impacts from the TMA, SAS, wastewater treatment system, and potential leaks in the pipeline. Erosion and sedimentation issues are focused on changes in shoreline erosion and sediment accretion rates. Mitigation issues include fluctuations in stream and lake levels from intermittent mitigation water supply, and impacts on Swamp Creek from discharge of mitigation water.

4.2.17 Surface Water Quality

The surface water quality resource includes issues about contamination, changes in the chemistry of natural waters, temperature, dissolved oxygen, sediments, treated mine wastewater, and mitigation. Contamination issues include spills of chemicals, reagents, and ore concentrates, release of soluble heavy metals and acid rock drainage from mine infrastructure, radioactivity, mercury release from on-site processing and off-site smelting, and particulate deposition. Natural water chemistry issues include changes caused by the SAS, impacts on surrounding lakes and streams, and loss of wetland filtering and cleansing functions. Temperature issues include

potential adverse temperature changes in Swamp Creek and Rice Lake and potential warming of the Wolf River from decreased flow in springs and tributary streams, and temperature changes from treatment, conveyance and discharge of mine wastewater. Dissolved oxygen issues include potential decrease in Rolling Stone Lake and dissolved oxygen concentrations in waters receiving treated water discharges. Sediment issues include construction of roads and rail spur lines across creeks, storage and subsequent release of metal contaminated sediments in stream and lake bottoms, and release of asbestos fibers from waste rock and tailings. Treated mine wastewater issues include impacts of discharges on Swamp Creek and downstream resources. Mitigation issues include using pumped groundwater that is incompatible with surface water quality.

4.2.18 Threatened and Endangered Species

Threatened and endangered species includes issues about federal and state listed plants, animals, and candidate threatened and endangered species. Plant issues include effects on state listed and potential federal listed plants from wetland alteration, trampling, and groundwater drawdown. Animal issues include effects on bald eagles and their habitat along the Wolf River and in Rice Lake, effects on endangered clam species, and effects on all listed species from wetland alteration. Candidate species issues include effects on federal candidate Category 1 threatened and endangered species.

4.2.19 Traditional Cultural Properties

Traditional cultural properties include issues about cultural places and properties, burial sites, plants, water, and the legacy and traditions of Native Americans. Cultural place and property issues include impacts on Rice Lake, Swamp Creek, other water bodies, Spirit Hill, other proposed traditional cultural properties located on NMC's private land and other off-reservation areas, and sites eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. Burial site issues include impacts on Spirit Hill and other traditional burial grounds in the immediate area of the proposed mine. Plant issues include loss or degradation of wild rice beds, cedar trees and other plants used for medicine, impacts on traditional medicine plants from airborne tailings and dust deposition, and changes in wetland flora caused by changes in groundwater quantity and quality. Water issues include impacts on Sokaogon-Chippewa ceremonial uses of water and water bodies within the Mole Lake Reservation. Native American legacy and tradition issues include impacts on cultural traditions, tribal cultural values, and the legacy of the Sokaogon-Chippewa Tribe.

4.2.20 Transportation

Transportation includes issues about rail, highway, and air transport systems. Rail transport issues include impacts from transporting hazardous materials, potential spills, increased rail traffic, and railroad/highway crossings. Highway and road issues include traffic congestion on the Mole lake Reservation, and upgrading and maintaining local forest and other roads. Air transport issues include impacts from air traffic and air space over the Mole Lake Reservation.

4.2.21 Vegetation

Vegetation includes issues about forests, riparian shrubs, traditional medicinal plants, exotic species, and power and rail corridors. Forest issues include impacts on tree species from airborne tailings, dust deposition, and groundwater drawdown, impacts on forest habitat, impacts on the Nicolet National Forest and mitigation. Traditional medicinal plant issues include impacts from airborne tailings and dust deposition, and changes in plant habitat. Exotic species issues include impacts of introduced exotic plants on wild rice, other Tribal plant resources, and the forest ecosystem. Power and rail corridor issues include impacts on vegetation from constructing power transmission lines and the rail spur line, indirect impacts of expanding power generating

facilities, contamination impacts from acute spills of ore concentrates, reagents and other chemicals along rail lines, and contamination impacts from chronic releases of dust along rail lines.

4.2.22 Visual Resources

Visual resources include issues about aesthetics and visual impacts. Aesthetic issues include impacts on the Wolf River, and impacts from mine development and night lighting. Visual impact issues are focused on changes the TMA and other mine facilities would have on local residents at Ground Hemlock Lake and the Mole Lake Reservation.

4.2.23 Wetlands

The wetland resource includes issues about direct loss of wetlands, indirect impacts on wetlands, and mitigation. Direct loss issues include fill impacts on wetlands from constructing the TMA, roads, rail spur line, transmission lines, and other mine infrastructure. Direct wetland loss issues also include increased potential for flooding and increased contaminant transport or erosion down-gradient of the lost wetlands. Indirect impact issues include wetland loss or reduced functions and values from groundwater drawdown, altered surface water flow patterns, contamination via surface water and/or groundwater pathways, introduction of exotic or invasive plants, changes in chemistry, particulate deposition, and flooding from the SAS. Mitigation issues include replacing lost and reduced wetland functions and values in an off-site location and different watershed, protection and maintenance of mitigation wetlands, and the fate of the wetland mitigation property if it is not used for mitigation.

4.2.24 Wildlife

Wildlife includes issues about habitat, birds, mammals, insects, and amphibians. Habitat issues include direct and indirect impacts on wildlife habitat down-gradient from the project, construction and operation of the rail lines, lowered and erratic stream flows, and short-term demand for roads, houses, shops, schools, and other services. Bird issues include impacts from feeding on contaminated fish, ingesting water and spreading contaminants in the TMA, transmission lines, air traffic, noise, mercury, wetland loss effects on nesting, mining activities on migratory birds, and air quality impacts on birds of prey, waterfowl and their migration patterns, songbirds, and other birds. Mammal issues include impacts from noise, feeding on contaminated fish, mercury, transmission lines, and impacts on deer herds and their migration patterns, pine marten, porcupine, bobcat, fisher, otter, black bear, and their habitat. Insect issues include air quality impacts on bees, dragonflies, and other insects, and impacts on pollination. Amphibian issues include air quality impacts on turtles, frogs, and other amphibians.

4.2.25 Wild Rice

Wild rice includes issues about contaminants and geochemistry, harvesting, water levels, and development from population growth. Contaminant and geochemistry issues include impacts from water quality degradation, heavy metal accumulating in the wild rice, and changes in surface water geochemistry of Rice Lake and Swamp Creek. Harvesting issues include impacts on wild rice yield and harvesting by the Sokaogon-Chippewa Mole Lake Band and other local Tribes that depend on a share of the rice for subsistence or for seed. Water level issues include impacts from fluctuating water levels in Rice Lake on wild rice production and survival. Development issues include indirect impacts on wild rice from population growth and associated housing, road building, and other development occurring outside the boundaries of the Mole Lake Reservation.

4.3 Issues Eliminated from Further Analysis

Some of the issues raised during the public scoping process have been eliminated from further analysis. These issues were eliminated because they were beyond the scope of the EIS or no longer apply because of changes made in the project by the applicant. The issues eliminated from further analysis (see Table A-4 in Appendix A) will not be analyzed in the EIS.

| THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK |
|------------------------------------|
| |
| |

Page 1 of 23

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified* |
|---------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Air Quality | What impacts would occur on air quality from development and operation of the mine? | 43 |
| Air Quality | What impacts would occur on air quality from dust released from on or off site? | 9 |
| Air Quality | Would there be odors associated with the mining and increased transportation use? | 5 |
| Air Quality | What would be the possibility of leakage of heavy metals causing acid rain? | 5 |
| Air Quality | What impacts would result from construction and operation of the railroad spur in terms of construction disturbance, railroad operations, and use of herbicides? | 4 |
| Air Quality | What impact would occur on air quality from the TMA and other ore and waste handling facilities? | 3 |
| Air Quality | What impact would occur on air quality from water evaporating from the tailings pond(s)? | 3 |
| Air Quality | What impact would occur on air quality from increased particulate matter emissions containing heavy metals? | 2 |
| Air Quality | What impact would occur on air quality from chemicals used in the ore processing? | 2 |
| Air Quality | What radioactivity impacts would occur on air quality from the mining activities? | 2 |
| Air Quality | What air quality impacts would the mine have on the Forest County Potawatomi Reservation given their pending Air Redesignation? | 2 |
| Air Quality | What impact would occur on air quality from increased use of coal to produce electricity for the mine? | 1 |
| Air Quality | What impacts would booster stations have on air quality? | 2 |
| Alternatives | Look at alternative locations of mining and TMA operations on lands that do not contain such valuable, numerous, and sensitive environmental resources | 4 |
| Alternatives | Look at the alternative of processing all the tailings into saleable products to reduce the amount of "salt cake" to be deposited in the tailings management area | 3 |
| Alternatives | The EIS should examine alternatives to the TMA, groundwater mitigation plan and surface water mitigation plan. | 3 |
| Alternatives | Would metal recycling and reduced use of metals be considered as an alternative? | 3 |
| Alternatives | What would be the feasibility of extracting all sulfide minerals from the ore and selling a concentrate for use elsewhere to avoid depositing pyrite minerals in the TMA? | 2 |

Page 2 of 23

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Aquatic Resources | What fish and aquatic life impacts would occur in the Wolf River from Swamp Creek if it becomes polluted? | 71 |
| Aquatic Resources | What impacts would occur on fish in the streams and lakes on the Mole Lake Reservation and surrounding areas? | 21 |
| Aquatic Resources | What impacts would occur on fish in surface waters contaminated by the mining operation or a toxic spill? | 31 |
| Aquatic Resources | What impacts would occur on aquatic life from lowered and erratic stream flow? | 7 |
| Aquatic Resources | What impacts would occur on the aquatic ecosystems in Swamp Creek and Rice Lake? | 4 |
| Aquatic Resources | What impacts would occur on spawning fish heading for Rice Lake from wastewater discharges below Rice Lake? | 3 |
| Aquatic Resources | What impacts would occur on aquatic organisms from bio-accumulation of heavy metals? | 2 |
| Aquatic Resources | What impacts would metal particles discharged as part of the total suspended solids have on the aquatic ecosystem? | 1 |
| Aquatic Resources | What impacts would chlorination used to oxidize cyanide compounds and potential formation of chlorinated organics from reaction of chlorine with organic compounds have on biota in Swamp Creek and the Wolf River? | 1 |
| Aquatic Resources | What impacts would occur on aquatic indicator species in lakes from bio-accumulation of heavy metals resulting from deposition of airborne contaminants? | 2 |
| Aquatic Resources | What impacts would organic chemicals used in ore processing and discharged as part of the treated effluent have on the aquatic ecosystem? | 2 |
| Aquatic Resources | What mitigation plans would be implemented to mitigate for the potential loss of aquatic habitat and fish in Creek 12-09, Creek 11-04, Upper Pickerel Creek, and Martin Springs and reduced dissolved oxygen levels in Rolling Stone Lake from the groundwater drawdown? | 1 |
| Aquatic Resources | How would aquatic resource mitigation efforts be coordinated with the current non-degradation standards in Ainsworth and Langlade County mining ordinances and regulations? | 1 |
| Aquatic Resources | What impacts would occur on interjurisdictional fish species? | 1 |
| Archaeological Resources | What impacts would occur on the Oak Lake Sites and other sites within, adjacent to, and beyond the immediate mine site and located off the Mole Lake Reservation that are eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places? | 35 |
| Archaeological Resources | What cultural, historical, and archaeological impacts would occur on property controlled by NMC? | 7 |

Page 3 of 23

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Archaeological Resources | What construction expansion impacts would occur on known burial sites and state historical markers immediately adjacent to | 5 |
| | major highways, proposed rail spur line, and electrical transmission corridor upgraded to support the mine? | |
| Archaeological Resources | What would be the impacts of mine water discharges on traditional burial and historical resources in the immediate area of the mine site? | 2 |
| Archaeological Resources | How would the proposed mine impact archaeological properties and burial sites located on the Mole Lake Reservation? | 2 |
| Archaeological Resources | What impacts would occur on archaeologic and historical resources from erosion? | 1 |
| Cumulative Impacts | What would be the impact of NMC's proposal on mining across Northern Wisconsin, focus on increased exploration, and potential extraction of smaller deposits to use Crandon facilities? | 7 |
| Cumulative Impacts | What would be the cumulative effects of the metallic mining activity and its supporting industries in northern Wisconsin? | 6 |
| Cumulative Impacts | What would be the impact of all known plans for mining in northern Wisconsin? | 5 |
| Cumulative Impacts | What would be the impacts on all resources from associated existing, planned and foreseeable activities in the area of the mine? | 5 |
| Cumulative Impacts | What potential cumulative impacts could occur on water quality in the Wolf River from regulated discharges of treated mine wastewater? | 3 |
| Cumulative Impacts | What cumulative impacts would result from interrelated water projects in the project area? | 2 |
| Cumulative Impacts | What would be the cumulative impacts on the environment in the Chippewa ceded territory? | 2 |
| Cumulative Impacts | What would be the cumulative impact of the project from smelting the ore concentrates at an existing regional smelter and how much would mercury levels increase at the smelter? | 2 |
| Ecosystem | What impacts would occur on federal natural resources? | 320 |
| Ecosystem | What effect would this project have on the total ecosystem? | 67 |
| Ecosystem | How would the Wolf River ecosystem and biological components be impacted and to what extent? | 15 |
| Ecosystem | What would be the extent of physical, chemical, and biological effects on the ecosystem including impacts on wild rice, trout, bald eagle, sturgeon, waterfowl, mussels, invertebrate, and other contaminant transport issues? | 104 |
| Ecosystem | What impacts would occur on the ecosystem during the lapse of time between filling of functioning wetlands and development of mitigation wetlands? | 1 |

Page 4 of 23

| | | 1 age 4 01 25 |
|-----------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
| Environmental Justice | Would the mine project cause a disproportionate risk to Native American Tribes in terms of demographic, geographic, economic, and human health and risk factors, cultural and ethnic differences, and historical and policy issues? | 12 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What impacts would occur on groundwater levels and associated shallow lakes from mine dewatering? | 44 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What impacts would occur on groundwater quantity in private wells in the surrounding area and locations such as, Town of Ainsworth, Appleton, and Mole Lake Reservation, from groundwater draw down? | 37 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What impacts would occur on the aquifers associated with lakes and creeks such as Swamp Creek, Rice Lake, Deep Hole Lake, Pickerel Lake, Rolling Stone Lake, Lake Lucerne, Ground Hemlock Lake, and Mole Lake? | 38 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | How would water resources be protected and project-related impacts on water be prevented? | 23 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What impacts would occur on groundwater quantity from development and operation of the tailings management area (TMA) including a worst-case failure scenario? | 23 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What impacts would mitigation wells and water pumping for surface water mitigation in lakes have on groundwater levels? | 4 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What impacts would occur on groundwater flow from the injection of grouting cement? | 3 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | Would groundwater quantities affected by mining operations be magnified under drought conditions? | 4 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What would be the impact of the grouting plan in the worst-case analysis? | 3 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What would be the impact under worst-case permeability assumptions? | 3 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What impacts would occur on groundwater hydrology if the total capacity of the Soil Absorption System is different than the quantity of water pumped from the mine? | 3 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | How would tailings backfill operations impact groundwater movement and rebound of the water table? | 2 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What groundwater impacts would occur in the Pickerel Creek Basin and would they be mitigated by using a Soil Absorption System? | 2 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What impacts would result from blasting materials and the noise, vibration, and fracturing resulting from blasting? | 1 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What impacts would mine inactivity and mine closure have on the flow of mine-intercept water used for mitigation and groundwater levels affecting streams and lakes until groundwater reaches the post-mining equilibrium? | 1 |

Page 5 of 23

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Groundwater Hydrology | What impacts would mine dewatering and groundwater drawdown have on recharge periods under prolonged drought, rapid spring snowmelt, unusually heavy rainfall, and average conditions over a 20 to 25 year period? | 2 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What impacts would occur on groundwater flow directions because of the SAS discharge? | 1 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What would be the impact on public rights from the installation of mitigation wells that could enlarge and/or deepen the groundwater cone of depression? | 1 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What impact would result from more water flowing into the mine than predicted, requiring the cone of depression to extend further than anticipated? | 1 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What measures would be taken to prevent impacts on private wells? | 1 |
| Groundwater Quality | What impacts would occur on groundwater quality from development and operation (including a leak or spill) of the tailings management area? | 59 |
| Groundwater Quality | What groundwater quality impacts would occur over the long-term? | 34 |
| Groundwater Quality | What impacts would occur on groundwater quality in private wells in the surrounding area and locations such as, Town of Ainsworth, Appleton, and Mole Lake Reservation from groundwater draw down? | 30 |
| Groundwater Quality | What impacts would occur on groundwater quality from acid mine drainage and other solute transport after mine re-flooding? | 11 |
| Groundwater Quality | How would chemicals (including petroleum products) and any hazardous wastes be stored, handled, transported, and disposed of, what spill prevention response measures would be used, and what impacts could be associated with releases of these materials? | 8 |
| Groundwater Quality | What impacts would loss or change of wetlands have on the water quality (filtering and cleansing) of water entering the groundwater? | 7 |
| Groundwater Quality | What would be the impact on groundwater quality from injection of grouting cement? | 4 |
| Groundwater Quality | What would be the impact on groundwater quality from the SAS under a worst-case flow? | 4 |
| Groundwater Quality | What mitigation measures would be implemented to supply drinking water to the Mole Lake Reservation if wells become contaminated from the mine activities? | 3 |
| Groundwater Quality | What surface and ground water contaminants would result from equipment operations, explosives use, petroleum and hydraulic fluids, and other chemicals used on-site? | 3 |

Page 6 of 23

| | | 1 age 0 01 25 |
|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
| Groundwater Quality | What contamination impacts would occur on the Mole Lake | 3 |
| Ground water Quarty | Reservation aquifer by injection of chemicals in any one of the | |
| | underground tunnels that would be filled with tailings or other | |
| | mining debris? | |
| Groundwater Quality | What radioactivity impacts would occur on groundwater quality | 1 |
| Ground water Quarty | from the mining activities? | 1 |
| Groundwater Quality | What impacts would 100 years of freeze and thaw, expansion and | 2 |
| Ground water Quarty | contraction, have on the TMA and how would freezing of the | _ |
| | tailings affect the integrity of the liner and berms? | |
| Groundwater Quality | How would other minerals found in the ore interact with the geo- | 1 |
| Ground water Quarty | membrane liner proposed to contain the waste rock and tailings? | 1 |
| Groundwater Quality | What synergistic impacts would all exposed minerals have on | 1 |
| Ground water Quarty | groundwater quality in a high sulfur and possibly oxygen-enriched | 1 |
| | environment? | |
| Groundwater Quality | How would discovery of additional minerals in the mined ore | 1 |
| Ground water Quarty | affect groundwater quality? | 1 |
| Groundwater Quality | What impacts would occur on the quality of groundwater | 1 |
| Crounta water Quartey | discharged by wetlands? | - |
| Groundwater Quality | What would be the impacts of confining waste rock and tailings to | 2 |
| Crounta water Quartey | the TMA for eternity? | _ |
| Health and Safety | What impacts would occur on Tribal members from living in a | 135 |
| | degraded and contaminated environment? | |
| Health and Safety | What mental anguish, anxiety, and stress impacts would the mine | 11 |
| | have on tribal members from environmental degradation, | |
| | relocation and fears, health risks, and termination fears? | |
| Health and Safety | What impacts would occur on the health, safety and welfare of the | 10 |
| j | local people from development and operation of the mine? | |
| Health and Safety | What would be the impact of noise on Wolf River users and | 7 |
| , | inhabitants of the area? | |
| Health and Safety | What health impacts such as learning ability impacts would occur | 5 |
| , | on Sokaogon-Chippewa Tribe members and their children from | |
| | ingesting dissolved metals through food and drink? | |
| Health and Safety | What impacts would occur on health and safety from the storage | 5 |
| • | and use of hazardous materials, (sodium cyanide, methyl isobutyl | |
| | carbinol)? | |
| Health and Safety | What potential safety impacts would the mine have on workers | 4 |
| · | and the public within the mine site and surrounding the mine site? | |
| Health and Safety | What would be the impact of likely disaster scenarios over the life | 4 |
| • | of the mine? | |
| Health and Safety | What public health impacts would occur on the non-Tribal | 4 |
| • | residents living in the surrounding area? | |
| Health and Safety | What public health and safety impacts would occur on the | 3 |
| - | Sokaogon-Chippewa tribal members? | |

Page 7 of 23

| | | N T' I |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
| Health and Safety | What would be the impact on human health and safety from | 3 |
| H 14 1 C C / | hazardous material spills due to a transportation accident? | 2 |
| Health and Safety | What radioactivity impacts would occur on public health from the mining activities? | 3 |
| Health and Safety | What impacts would occur on Tribal members consuming fish that | 4 |
| | contain bio-accumulated heavy metals? | |
| Health and Safety | What impacts would occur on health from decreased air quality | 4 |
| , | resulting from increase in emissions especially heavy metals on | |
| | the general public within, adjacent to and beyond the immediate | |
| | mine site? | |
| Health and Safety | What noise impacts would occur on the Sokaogon-Chippewa | 3 |
| , | Tribe as people move into the area surrounding Mole Lake | |
| | Reservation? | |
| Health and Safety | What public health impacts would occur on the Sokaogon | 3 |
| , | community from exposure to radioactive emissions generated | |
| | from above ground and underground mining activities? | |
| Health and Safety | What impacts would occur on human health as a result of mercury | 2 |
| - | releases from the wetting and drying of wetland perimeters and | |
| | lake shoreline? | |
| Health and Safety | What impacts would occur on health and safety due to increased | 2 |
| , | traffic counts? | |
| Health and Safety | How would impacts on wild rice in Rice Lake affect the diet of the | 2 |
| - | Sokaogan-Chippewa and other Chippewa tribes in the ceded | |
| | territory? | |
| Health and Safety | What secondary air quality impacts would occur on the Sokaogon- | 2 |
| - | Chippewa Tribe as people move into the area surrounding Mole | |
| | Lake Reservation? | |
| Health and Safety | What public health impacts, such as cancer and related illnesses, | 2 |
| - | would the electrical transmission lines have on local citizens? | |
| Health and Safety | What public health impacts would occur on Sokaogon-Chippewa | 2 |
| | children from toxic spills and discharges of lead, nickel, and | |
| | cadmium? | |
| Health and Safety | What would be the public health risks associated with mining the | 2 |
| | lead deposits on the mine site? | |
| Health and Safety | What air quality impacts would occur on Native Americans and | 2 |
| | others from smelting the ore concentrates at an off-site smelter | |
| | such as the Copper Range Company? | |
| Health and Safety | What air quality impacts would occur on residents of Ground | 1 |
| | Hemlock Lake? | |
| Health and Safety | Would the Sokaogon-Chippewa and the Forest County | 1 |
| | Potawatomi tribes be susceptible to health impacts from the | |
| | cumulative effects of heavy metals in the air, water, and animals | |
| | ingested in their diet? | |

Page 8 of 23

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Health and Safety | How would the mining company assure that waters flowing into the Menominee Reservation are clean so the fish and game the tribal members gather for food is healthful and uncontaminated? | 1 |
| Indian Trust Assets | What impacts would the mine have on Indian Trust Assets? | 405 |
| Indian Trust Assets | How would Indian reserved water rights be impacted by the mine dewatering? | 10 |
| Indian Trust Assets | What adverse impacts would occur on Tribal member's uses of the Wolf River, Swamp Creek, Rice Lake, Mole Lake, and Devil's Lake? | 6 |
| Indian Trust Assets | What impacts would the mine have on treaty rights? | 5 |
| Indian Trust Assets | How would harvest resources be protected on federal and other lands impacted by the proposed mining project? | 5 5 |
| Indian Trust Assets | What are the impacts on Tribal uses of water and aquatic resources stemming from the proposed mine? | 4 |
| Indian Trust Assets | How would fishing and hunting rights protected by Treaty be impacted by the mining activities? | 4 |
| Indian Trust Assets | How would off-reservation harvest rights be protected on non-federal lands impacted by the proposed mining project? | 3 |
| Indian Trust Assets | What impacts would occur on fishing, hunting, and gathering plants and medicines off the Mole Lake Reservation? | 3 |
| Indian Trust Assets | What impacts would occur on Chippewa Treaty harvest rights in the ceded territory? | 3 |
| Indian Trust Assets | What impacts would loss of fisheries have on subsistence activities by Native Americans? | 4 |
| Indian Trust Assets | How would mitigation water discharged into Swamp Creek impact wild rice and native fish, two traditional Chippewa foods, in Rice Lake? | 2 |
| Indian Trust Assets | What impacts would occur on tribal resources on- and off- Reservation from a spill of hazardous materials? | 3 |
| Indian Trust Assets | How would tribal harvest rights under the Voigt Decision be impacted in the Nicolet National Forest from loss of fish and wildlife by environmental degradation? | 2 |
| Indian Trust Assets | How would the cumulative effects of other existing and proposed mining operations impact Sokaogon-Chippewa treaty hunting and fishing rights? | 2 |
| Indian Trust Assets | What impacts would blasting at the mine site have on the Treaty resources on- and off-Reservation? | 2 |
| Indian Trust Assets | What impacts would occur on Indian Trust Assets from failure of the TMA and/or its liner system? | 1 |

Page 9 of 23

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Indian Trust Assets | What impacts would occur on affected trust lands including the Forest County Potawatomi Community of Wisconsin, Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin, the Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin, the Sokaogon-Chippewa (Mole Lake) Community of Wisconsin, and the Stockbridge-Munsee Community of Wisconsin? | 2 |
| Indian Trust Assets | What impacts would occur on property rights for hunting, fishing, and gathering in the proposed project area for the Bad River, Red Cliff, Lac Courte Oreilles, Lac du Flambeau, St. Croix, Sokaogon-Chippewa (Mole Lake), and Lac Vieux Desert Indians in Wisconsin and Michigan? | 1 |
| Land Use Plans and Conflicts | How would the project conform to the Langlade County zoning ordinances and the Town of Ainsworth metallic mining regulations? | 1 |
| Mineral Resources | What impacts would result from mining and processing unanticipated elements such as mercury, radium 226, uranium, vanadium, lead, molybdenum, titanium, cobalt, platinum, iron, nickel, tin, chromium, antimony, silver and gold? | 2 |
| Mineral Resources | What mineral resource impacts would occur on ore bodies adjacent to the proposed mine site? | 1 |
| Mineral Resources | What impacts would occur on existing mineral rights and claims? | 1 |
| Noise | What noise impacts would occur from the mining activities? | 10 |
| Noise | What impacts would result from construction and operation of the railroad spur on noise levels? | 5 |
| Noise | What noise impacts would be associated with pipeline pump stations, air vents and booster stations? How would they be sited in relation to private residences? | 1 |
| Project Description | Would complete reclamation of the mine be possible, including the sulfide waste? | 11 |
| Project Description | How long would the tailings remain reactive? What would be the life expectancy of the liner? What would be the freeze and thaw dynamics of the soils? What would happen in a worst-case scenario? | 4 |
| Project Description | What would be the worst-case scenario of failure of the tailings area? | 4 |
| Project Description | Would the EIS include a thorough analysis of the TMA's ability to meet compliance standards? | 3 |
| Project Description | What financial mechanism would be in place after mine closure to assure long-term maintenance of the TMA, and long-term treatment of any contaminated water, if required? | 2 |
| Project Description | What size of earthquake would cause failure of the TMA and what kind of impacts would occur from such failure? | 2 |

Page 10 of 23

| | | No. Times Issue |
|----------------------|--|-----------------|
| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | Identified |
| Project Description | How would groundwater levels be monitored around the TMA, the | 2 |
| r roject Description | project boundary, and outside of the project boundary? | 2 |
| Project Description | Would there be up-lifting capillary pressure on the water table | 1 |
| Project Description | from the pressure of the tailings with 18 feet between the bottom | 1 |
| | of the TMA and the top of the water table? | |
| Project Description | How would freeze-thaw damage to the TMA liner be prevented | 1 |
| 110jeet Bescription | considering that the frost depth can be 8 feet? | 1 |
| Project Description | What "state of the art" technology would be incorporated into the | 1 |
| | mine and how would it prevent the type of mining-impacts that | |
| | have historically taken place? | |
| Project Description | What is the demand for the zinc, copper, and lead ore in the | 1 |
| J | Crandon deposit? | |
| Project Description | What direct and indirect impacts would occur from potential | 1 |
| | subsidence caused by groundwater drawdown and/or underground | |
| | mining? | |
| Project Description | How would groundwater quality be monitored around the TMA, | 1 |
| | the project boundary, and outside of the project boundary? | |
| Project Description | Would pore water be removed from the re-flooded mine or would | 1 |
| | passageways, shafts, and working areas be filled? | |
| Project Description | How would waste from radioactive hotspots in the ore body be | 1 |
| | treated and what impacts would potential radioactivity have? | |
| Project Description | Would the cost of mine reclamation be covered by the mining | 1 |
| | company? | |
| Project Description | What reclamation steps would be utilized to minimize the | 1 |
| | possibility of water and oxygen entering or leaving the TMA? | |
| Project Description | How would wastewater flows be monitored for leakage and how | 1 |
| | much flow variance would be allowed before determining if there | |
| D : (D : (| is a leak? | 1 |
| Project Description | What contingency plans would be followed if the TMA failed and | 1 |
| Duning A Demointing | caused contamination of water quality? | 1 |
| Project Description | What contingency plans would be in place to deal with | 1 |
| | contamination caused by acute spills and chronic releases of contaminants? | |
| Project Description | What contingency plans would be in place to deal with a long- | 1 |
| Project Description | term shutdown of the water treatment facilities and failure or | 1 |
| | leakage of water from the reclaim pond and TMA? | |
| Project Description | What guarantee can NMC provide that process water would not be | 1 |
| | discharged to surface waters? | 1 |
| Project Description | What impacts would occur from equipment left in the mine? | 1 |
| Project Description | What reagents and how much would be used in the flotation | 1 |
| | process for concentrating zinc, copper, lead, gold, silver, and what | 1 |
| | byproducts would go into solution in the TMA? | |
| | 1 - 7 L | i |

Page 11 of 23

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|-----------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Recreation | How would sulfide mining at the headwaters of the Wolf River affect its designation as an outstanding resource water and a Wild and Scenic River? | 177 |
| Recreation | What impacts would the mine have on the Wolf River fishing tourist industry? | 17 |
| Recreation | What impacts on tourism would occur from the mining activity? | 15 |
| Recreation | What secondary impacts would occur on fishing, boating, drinking water, vacationing, swimming, hunting, and hiking associated with any degradation of water quality? | 24 |
| Recreation | What impacts would occur on recreationists from changes in streams and lakes resulting from groundwater draw down? | 2 |
| Recreation | How would the state's investment of \$65 million in acquired shorelines on 35 miles of the Wolf River in Langlade County and their expenditures in stocking and perpetuating the trout population be protected from degradation by mining? | 1 |
| Recreation | What would be the short- and long-term impacts on tourism in Forest, Oneida and Langlade counties from highway expansion related the mining project? | 1 |
| Short-Term, Long-Term | What would be the short-term, private benefits of NMC's proposal and its long-term public detriments? | 7 |
| Short-Term, Long-Term | What would be the long-term impacts of the project in perpetuity? | 1 |
| Socioeconomics | What would be the economic benefits from the mine development and operation? | 35 |
| Socioeconomics | What impacts would occur on community economics from the boom and bust economy associated with the mine? | 30 |
| Socioeconomics | What impacts would occur on the tourism industry from contamination on any part of Wolf River watershed? | 17 |
| Socioeconomics | What would be the long term and worst-case socioeconomic impacts? | 15 |
| Socioeconomics | What demands would occur on local services, government, roads, water, power, housing, schools, police and fire, social services resulting from increased population during the construction phase and operation phase? | 12 |
| Socioeconomics | What impacts would occur on crime, land use, property values and tourism economy? | 14 |
| Socioeconomics | What impacts would occur on property values from contamination of adjacent properties and the real estate disclosure law requiring the reporting of any toxics on real property or neighboring properties? | 12 |
| Socioeconomics | What socioeconomic impacts would occur on the Mole Lake Band of the Sokaogon-Chippewa Tribe from the development and operation of the mine? | 10 |

Page 12 of 23

| Dosouwaa Disainlina | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Resource Discipline Socioeconomics | What economic impacts would occur on Crandon, Mole Lake, and | 9 |
| Socioeconomics | what economic impacts would occur on Crandon, Mole Lake, and western Forest County? | 9 |
| Socioeconomics | What would be the socioeconomic, cultural, spiritual, and | 6 |
| | subsistence impacts on the Tribes? | |
| Socioeconomics | What employment impacts would occur with the mine? | 6 |
| Socioeconomics | What secondary impacts would occur because of population | 6 |
| | growth related to the mine project? | |
| Socioeconomics | What would be the impact on economic growth in the region? | 5 |
| Socioeconomics | What socioeconomic impacts would occur on the community from | 5 |
| | temporary shutdowns and early closure of the mine? | |
| Socioeconomics | What social impacts would occur on the Sokaogon-Chippewa | 3 |
| | Tribe as people move into the area surrounding Mole Lake | |
| | Reservation? | |
| Socioeconomics | What impacts would occur on local businesses from competition | 3 |
| | for skilled workers including licensed electricians, certified | |
| | welders, and equipment operators? | |
| Socioeconomics | What impacts would occur on regional economics from inadequate | 4 |
| | financial assurances to address disaster scenarios and other | |
| | environmental, health and safety concerns? | |
| Socioeconomics | What economic impacts would occur from recruiting employees | 4 |
| | from outside the immediate area? | |
| Socioeconomics | What impacts would occur on the "well-being" of the Sokaogon- | 3 |
| | Chippewa Tribe? | |
| Socioeconomics | What alienation impacts would occur on Sokaogon-Chippewa | 2 |
| | children from racism in schools and surrounding communities as | |
| | the population increases? | |
| Socioeconomics | What would be the socioeconomic impact of supplying and | 3 |
| | operating the equipment and concrete requirements associated | |
| | with the grouting plan? | |
| Socioeconomics | What economic impacts would occur on the Sokaogon-Chippewa | 2 |
| | Tribe from loss or decline of wild rice in Rice Lake caused by the | |
| | mining activities? | |
| Socioeconomics | What would be the total socioeconomic impact, including the costs | 2 |
| | of environmental cleanup of the mine, over the long-term? | |
| Socioeconomics | What indirect impacts would occur on the owners of second | 3 |
| | homes and their property values during and after the mining | |
| | project? | |
| Socioeconomics | What effect would the mine have on people in the Nashville and | 2 |
| | Crandon areas? | |
| Socioeconomics | What impacts would occur on the Mole Lake Reservation from | 2 |
| | having to install a central sewer system with a pond to treat the | |
| | wastewater to meet increased demand for utilities? | |
| Socioeconomics | How would noise from the mine impact the tourism industry? | 1 |

Page 13 of 23

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Socioeconomics | What would be the technical, environmental, and socioeconomic impacts on the Town of Ainsworth and Langlade County? | 1 |
| Socioeconomics | What would be the economic impact of a "worst-case" environmental degradation problem in the event the mining company declares bankruptcy? | 2 |
| Socioeconomics | What impacts would the public perception of clean water and potential degradation of water quality have on tourism and recreation expenditures? | 2 |
| Socioeconomics | What potential impact would a change in flow rates, caused by the mining project on tributary streams and the Wolf River or a delayed period of recharge, have on the tourism and recreation industry and associated property values? | 2 |
| Socioeconomics | How would employment within each sector of the economy in the non-urban counties of northern Wisconsin change over the life of the project and beyond? | 2 |
| Socioeconomics | How would retail and wholesale sales and commerce in the non- urban counties of northern Wisconsin change over the life of the project and beyond? | 2 |
| Socioeconomics | What impacts would occur on the number and size of businesses in the study area and northern Wisconsin during the project and following reclamation? | 2 |
| Socioeconomics | What impacts would occur on single entrepreneurs such as independent contractors, farmers, laborers, and small business owners? | 1 |
| Socioeconomics | How would the project affect hospitality-recreation-tourism sales in the non-urban counties of northern Wisconsin? | 2 |
| Socioeconomics | What indirect impacts would the project have on the tourism markets (Green Bay, Milwaukee, Madison, Chicago, Minneapolis, and St. Paul) that are the basis of the tourism industry in northern Wisconsin? | 2 |
| Socioeconomics | How would the project affect seasonal and year-round housing over the life of the mine and after reclamation? | 1 |
| Socioeconomics | What non-linear impacts would occur from rapid population influx on the economy and social disruption on the local culture, with potential increased rates of crime, mental illness, alcoholism, and child abuse and corresponding increased burden on public revenues and expenditures? | 2 |
| Socioeconomics | What true tax impacts would the project have from negative economic factors including crime, welfare, increased social services, law enforcement, jails, and unemployment? | 1 |
| Socioeconomics | What environmental impacts and costs would occur from population growth and new construction activity in terms of runoff, water pollution, and solid waste? | 2 |

Page 14 of 23

| | | 1 age 14 01 23 |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|
| | | No. Times Issue |
| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | Identified |
| Socioeconomics | What would be the magnitude of change in health services, human | 1 |
| | services, and social services and the economic impact of each | |
| | service during and after the mining project? | |
| Socioeconomics | How would local government revenues and expenditures change | 2 |
| | over the life of the project and beyond? | |
| Socioeconomics | How would school district expenditures change over the life of the | 1 |
| | project and after mine reclamation? | |
| Socioeconomics | What are the housing build-out conditions for the area and how | 2 |
| | likely are they to be maintained following closure of the mine? | |
| Socioeconomics | What are the projected changes in affordable housing in the area | 1 |
| | as regional development occurs in conjunction with mining | |
| | construction and operations? | |
| Socioeconomics | What socioeconomic impacts would occur on home prices from | 2 |
| | the expected level of new home construction? | |
| Socioeconomics | What would be the level of displacement of current low- and | 1 |
| | moderate-income residents because of escalating land and housing | |
| | prices over the life of the mine? | |
| Socioeconomics | How would employment, income, and housing for affected Native | 1 |
| | American communities change over the life of the project and | |
| | beyond? | |
| Socioeconomics | What impact would the project have on the quality and quantity of | 1 |
| | resources and their subsequent influence on the economy? | |
| Socioeconomics | How would the regional, county, and local land market, values, | 2 |
| | and uses change over the life of the project and after reclamation? | |
| Socioeconomics | How would the project affect seasonal employment in northern | 2 |
| | Wisconsin during and after mining? | |
| Socioeconomics | Would the mine be conducive to building a sustainable economy | 2 |
| | in the local communities? | |
| Socioeconomics | What would be the magnitude of impacts on the forestry and wood | 2 |
| | products, agriculture, and tourism industries in northern Wisconsin | |
| | during and after the mining project? | |
| Socioeconomics | What impacts would occur from potential population gains and | 2 |
| | housing demands in the towns of Langlade, Wolf River, | |
| | Evergreen, Ainsworth, Price, Polar, Norwood, Parrish, Enterprise, | |
| | Schoepke, Laona, Wabeno, and Freedom, and in the villages of | |
| | White Lake and Elcho? | |
| Socioeconomics | What intangible impacts would occur on people, their culture and | 1 |
| | community as rapid changes take place? | |
| Socioeconomics | How would the mine affect the quality of life for those people | 2 |
| | living in the immediate area of the mine? | |
| Socioeconomics | How would Town of Ainsworth revenues from forest crops be | 2 |
| | affected by changes in air quality, water quality and groundwater | |
| | drawdown? | |

Page 15 of 23

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Socioeconomics | What would be the economic impact of sulfates and other | 2 |
| | contaminants in the groundwater on humans and animals? | |
| Surface Water Hydrology | What would be the impacts of the mine on waterfalls in the Wolf River? | 32 |
| Surface Water Hydrology | What impacts would occur on lakes and streams from groundwater draw down? | 30 |
| Surface Water Hydrology | How would water resources be protected and project-related impacts to water be prevented? | 18 |
| Surface Water Hydrology | What impacts would occur on surface water resources from the development of the TMA including a worst-case failure? | 17 |
| Surface Water Hydrology | What impacts would occur on entire Wolf River watershed all the way to Lake Winnebago? | 21 |
| Surface Water Hydrology | What impacts would occur on the Swamp Creek-Rice Lake watershed? | 9 |
| Surface Water Hydrology | What impacts would occur on Swamp Creek from discharging mitigation water at a specific point rather than throughout the present zone of discharge? | 5 |
| Surface Water Hydrology | What would be the impact of groundwater draw down of lakes on ability to maintain Public Rights stages? | 4 |
| Surface Water Hydrology | What impacts would occur on surface waters from the SAS under a worst-case flow? | 4 |
| Surface Water Hydrology | What impacts would occur on hydrology in the Swamp Creek, Pickerel Creek, and Lily River watersheds? | 4 |
| Surface Water Hydrology | Would surface water quantities affected by mining operations be magnified under drought conditions? | 3 |
| Surface Water Hydrology | What surface water impacts would occur on Ground Hemlock Lake from operation of the TMA? | 3 |
| Surface Water Hydrology | What impacts would occur on Wolf River flooding problems from mine operation? | 2 |
| Surface Water Hydrology | What impacts would occur on surface area of affected lakes? | 2 |
| Surface Water Hydrology | What impacts would mine dewatering and groundwater drawdown have on flow rates of tributary streams and the Wolf River under prolonged drought, rapid spring snowmelt, unusually heavy rainfall, and average conditions over a 20 to 25 year period? | 4 |
| Surface Water Hydrology | How would Duck Lake and Sand Lake be impacted by the mine water discharges? | 1 |
| Surface Water Hydrology | What impacts would occur on receiving waters from using mitigation water that has an erratic supply and would result in large fluctuations in lake levels and stream flows? | 2 |
| Surface Water Hydrology | What impacts would disruption of natural hydrologic processes have on shoreline erosion and sediment accretion rates? | 1 |

Page 16 of 23

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Surface Water Quality | What would be the short- and long-term impacts on the Wolf River and Fox River from surface water contamination associated with the mining? | 129 |
| Surface Water Quality | What impacts would occur on area streams and lakes from a leak in the TMA liner or a failure of the TMA? | 56 |
| Surface Water Quality | What impacts would occur on water quality from acid mine drainage? | 26 |
| Surface Water Quality | What impacts would occur on the mine site complex and surrounding areas from toxic contamination, and how long would the impacts occur? | 16 |
| Surface Water Quality | What is the impact on adjacent water quality in Outlet Creek, Swamp Creek and Rice Lake from the Soil Absorption System (SAS) including a worst-case flow? | 15 |
| Surface Water Quality | What would be the potential contamination of Little Sand Lake, Pickerel Lake, Rolling Stone Lake, and Rice Lake by seepage from the mine waste disposal system? | 15 |
| Surface Water Quality | What impacts would occur on water resources from a hazardous material spill caused by a transportation accident or other uncontrolled toxic release? | 13 |
| Surface Water Quality | What would be the impact on water quality on Pickerel Lake, the Fox River and Wolf River systems, and the USACE locks caused by water quality contamination from mine operations? | 13 |
| Surface Water Quality | What would be the total flux of potential contaminants through downstream aquatic systems over time, including acidification, heavy metals, organics, bio-accumulation/bio-concentration, and other contaminant transport issues? | 12 |
| Surface Water Quality | What water quality impacts would occur on the 650-acre Rice Lake, Duck Lake or Sand Lake? | 8 |
| Surface Water Quality | What water quality impacts would occur on and off the Mole Lake Reservation from discharges of pollutants from the mining activities? | 18 |
| Surface Water Quality | What impacts would occur on Swamp Creek from heavy metals? | 8 |
| Surface Water Quality | What impacts would result from construction and operation of the railroad spur in terms of construction disturbance, railroad operations, and use of herbicides? | 4 |
| Surface Water Quality | What radioactivity impacts would occur on surface water quality from the mining activities? | 3 |
| Surface Water Quality | What impacts would loss of wetlands have on the water quality (filtering and cleansing) of water entering the Mole Lake Reservation? | 3 |
| Surface Water Quality | What impacts would occur on water quality from wetland changes along Swamp Creek and Pickerel Creek? | 3 |

Page 17 of 23

| | | No. Times Issue |
|-----------------------|---|-----------------|
| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | Identified |
| Surface Water Quality | What would be the impact on water quality from air emissions | 3 |
| | containing heavy metals, even if standards are met? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What would be the impact on geochemistry of lake water from | 2 |
| | changes in lake stage and introduction of foreign mitigation water? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What would be the impact of eventual water warming in the Wolf | 2 |
| | River caused by dewatered or decreased flow in feeder springs and | |
| | streams? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What would be the impact of mine wastewater on water quality in | 1 |
| | Langlade, Evergreen, and Wolf River townships? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What impacts would occur on Rolling Stone Lake from low | 1 |
| | dissolved oxygen? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What impacts would occur from accumulating mercury in the on- | 1 |
| | site ore processing and off-site smelting? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What kind of changes in pH would occur in surface waters? | 1 |
| Surface Water Quality | What potential impacts would occur on Devil's Lake from air | 1 |
| | emissions? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What impacts would occur from contaminants trapped and stored | 2 |
| | in stream-bottom and lake-bottom sediments that are subsequently | |
| | released? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What is the potential impact of asbestos fibers in the waste rock | 1 |
| | and tailings that could be deposited in the TMA? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What impacts would temporary storage of high sulfur content | 1 |
| | waste rock at the mine surface have on water quality? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What would be the potential for oxidation of tailings and leachate, | 1 |
| | and how would resulting acid formation affect water quality? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What impacts would occur from using pumped mitigation water | 2 |
| | that has inadequate or incompatible quality with surface waters? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What contamination impacts would chronic releases of dust from | 1 |
| | rail cars have on streams, lakes, and the Wolf River, along rail | |
| | lines? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What contamination impacts would acute spills of ore | 1 |
| | concentrates, processing reagents, and other chemicals have on | |
| | streams, lakes, and the Wolf River along rail lines? | |
| Surface Water Quality | How would potential mining and processing of other elements | 1 |
| | affect the water treatment plant discharges and downstream | |
| | resources? | |
| Surface Water Quality | How would other minerals found in the ore react once exposed to | 1 |
| _ | biological organisms such as bacteria and migratory birds? | |
| Surface Water Quality | How would discharges of treated wastewater affect the dissolved | 1 |
| | oxygen content of receiving waters? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What impacts would occur on water quality from discharging | 1 |
| | treated wastewater into Swamp Creek? | |

Page 18 of 23

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Threatened and | What impacts would occur on threatened and endangered species | 335 |
| Endangered Species | from development and operation of the mine? | |
| Threatened and | What impacts would occur on state listed and potential Federal | 5 |
| Endangered Species | listed plants? | |
| Threatened and | What impacts would occur on listed species from wetland | 3 |
| Endangered Species | alteration? | |
| Threatened and | What impacts would occur on endangered plant species from | 1 |
| Endangered Species | trampling of the forest floor and pumping large quantities of water | |
| | from the groundwater aquifer? | |
| Threatened and | What effects would occur on endangered clam species? | 1 |
| Endangered Species | | |
| Threatened and | What effects resulting from mine discharges would occur on bald | 1 |
| Endangered Species | eagles and their habitat along the Wolf River and Rice Lake? | |
| Threatened and | What impacts would the proposed project have on federal | 1 |
| Endangered Species | candidate threatened and endangered species? | |
| Traditional Cultural | What would be the impacts on the cultural tradition of Native | 96 |
| Properties | American people? | |
| Traditional Cultural | What impacts would loss or degradation of the wild rice in Rice | 13 |
| Properties | Lake have on the culture and tradition of the tribal members? | |
| Traditional Cultural | What impacts would occur on Spirit Hill as well as other areas | 7 |
| Properties | adjacent to the mine or within the greater mine area where | |
| - | Sokaogon-Chippewa relatives are buried and how would burial | |
| | sites be protected? | |
| Traditional Cultural | What impacts would occur on cedar trees used for medicine? | 3 |
| Properties | | |
| Traditional Cultural | What would be the impacts of mine water discharges on traditional | 4 |
| Properties | burial and historical resources in the immediate area of the mine | |
| | and how would these sites be protected? | |
| Traditional Cultural | How would the legacy of the Sokaogon-Chippewa Tribe be | 3 |
| Properties | impacted by the mining operation? | |
| Traditional Cultural | What impacts would occur on traditional cultural properties | 3 |
| Properties | located on NMC's private land and other off-reservation areas? | |
| Traditional Cultural | What air quality impacts would occur on traditional medicine | 2 |
| Properties | plants from airborne tailings and dust deposition? | |
| Traditional Cultural | What impacts would occur on Sokaogon-Chippewa ceremonial | 2 |
| Properties | uses of water? | |
| Traditional Cultural | What is the impact on tribal cultural values from a change in | 1 |
| Properties | wetland flora caused by a change in groundwater quantity and | |
| • | quality? | |
| Traditional Cultural | What impacts would occur on traditional cultural properties such | 1 |
| Properties | as wild rice beds, water bodies, and mountaintops within the | |
| _ | boundaries of the Mole Lake Reservation? | |

Page 19 of 23

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Traditional Cultural Properties | What impacts would the project have on proposed traditional cultural properties and sites eligible for National Register of Historic Places (Swamp Creek and Rice Lake)? | 1 |
| Transportation | What impacts would occur from the transporting hazardous materials (i.e., sodium cyanide, methyl isobutyl carbinol) and potential spills? | 12 |
| Transportation | What traffic congestion impacts would occur on the Sokaogon-Chippewa Tribe as people move into the area surrounding Mole Lake Reservation? | 2 |
| Transportation | Who would pay for the upgrading and maintaining local forest and other roads? | 2 |
| Transportation | What air traffic impacts would occur from the proposed mining activities? | 1 |
| Transportation | What air space impacts would occur from air traffic over the Mole Lake Reservation? | 1 |
| Transportation | What impacts would occur from increased rail traffic? | 1 |
| Vegetation | What impacts would occur on plant species and their habitat from the mining activities including plants relied on by Native Americans for religious, ceremonial, subsistence and other purposes? | 52 |
| Vegetation | What air quality impacts would occur on cedar, sugar maple, birch, oak, balsam, spruce, and tamarack trees from airborne tailings and dust deposition? | 3 |
| Vegetation | What air quality impacts would occur on traditional medicine plants from airborne tailings and dust deposition? | 3 |
| Vegetation | How would the Nicolet National Forest be impacted by the mine activities? | 2 |
| Vegetation | What impacts would result from construction of any required power transmission lines and expansion of power generation facilities and how will they be addressed? | 2 |
| Vegetation | What impacts would result from construction and operation of mine roads, pipeline paths and the railroad spur in terms of construction disturbance, railroad operations, and use of herbicides? | 3 |
| Vegetation | What impacts would occur on the environment from the introduction of exotic species brought in by mine-related transportation? | 1 |
| Vegetation | What impacts would the introduction of exotic species have on wild rice and other Tribal plant resources? | 1 |
| Vegetation | What contamination impacts would acute spills of ore concentrates, processing reagents, and other chemicals have on vegetation along rail lines? | 1 |

Page 20 of 23

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Vegetation | What impacts would dewatering have on timber species since | 1 |
| | water may be unavailable to the root zones? | |
| Vegetation | What contamination impacts would chronic releases of dust from rail cars have on vegetation along rail lines? | 1 |
| Visual Resources | What impacts would occur on aesthetics of the Wolf River, which is a Wild and Scenic River? | 341 |
| Visual Resources | What impacts would occur on aesthetic resources from mine development and how would those impacts be measured/quantified and mitigated? | 9 |
| Visual Resources | What would be the impacts on aesthetics from night lighting at the mine? | 1 |
| Visual Resources | What visual impacts would the TMA and other mine facilities have on residents of Ground Hemlock Lake? | 1 |
| Wetlands | What impacts would occur on wetlands? | 334 |
| Wetlands | What impacts would occur on wetlands from groundwater draw down? | 44 |
| Wetlands | What impacts would occur on wetlands from filling them to develop and operate the mine and from any associated infrastructure construction? | 34 |
| Wetlands | What would be the impact of TMA construction and operation on wetlands loss? | 18 |
| Wetlands | What would be the total wetland loss, functional values and replacement? | 9 |
| Wetlands | What impacts would occur on wetlands from acids and heavy metals entering the groundwater? | 8 |
| Wetlands | What indirect or secondary impacts would occur on wetlands as a result of the mining project? | 8 |
| Wetlands | What impacts would occur on wetlands from degradation of water quality? | 6 |
| Wetlands | What impacts would occur on wetlands from mitigation of different functions than those in wetlands directly and indirectly affected by the mine project? | 5 |
| Wetlands | What impacts would occur on wetlands upstream from the Mole Lake Reservation? | 3 |
| Wetlands | What impacts would result from construction of any required power transmission lines and expansion of power generation facilities and how will they be addressed? | 3 |
| Wetlands | What impacts would result from construction and operation of the railroad spur in terms of construction disturbance, railroad operations, and use of herbicides? | 3 |
| Wetlands | What would be the impact on wetlands from altered drainage patterns? | 3 |

Page 21 of 23

| D D: . !! | | No. Times Issue |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|
| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | Identified |
| Wetlands | What impacts would occur on wetland habitats from the SAS | 3 |
| | because of groundwater mounding in uplands and flooding of | |
| Wetlands | existing wetlands? | 2 |
| wettands | What impacts would occur on wetlands from introduction of | 2 |
| Wetlands | invasive and or exotic species from wetlands alteration? | 2 |
| wenands | What assurances are there that the mitigation wetlands would be | Δ |
| Wetlands | protected and maintained after they are re-established? | 3 |
| wenands | What impacts would the mitigation wetlands have on the region since they are in a different watershed and different ceded | 3 |
| | territory? | |
| Wetlands | What impacts would mitigation wells and water pumping for | 2 |
| wenands | | 2 |
| Watlanda | surface water mitigation in lakes have on wetlands? | 1 |
| Wetlands | What would be the impact on wetlands from erosion and dust? | 1 |
| Wetlands | What impacts would occur on cedar swamps as wetlands are | 1 |
| W-41J- | drained and water tables drop over the life of the proposed mine? | 1 |
| Wetlands | What would be the cumulative impacts on wetlands and the | 1 |
| XX7 (1 1 | ecosystem within the mine site? | 1 |
| Wetlands | What would be the fate of the wetlands mitigation property if | 1 |
| | NMC does not use it for mitigation? Would it revert back to | |
| XX7 (1 1 | wetlands on its own? | 1 |
| Wetlands | What contamination impacts would acute spills of ore | 1 |
| | concentrates, processing reagents, and other chemicals have on | |
| XXX at at | wetlands along rail lines? | 1 |
| Wetlands | What contamination impacts would chronic releases of dust from | 1 |
| XX (1 1 | rail cars have on wetlands along rail lines? | 1 |
| Wetlands | How would treated mine water discharged into wetlands affect the | 1 |
| XX 41 1 | wetland functions and values? | 2 |
| Wetlands | What impacts would occur on wetland flora and fauna from mine | 3 |
| | dewatering and associated decreases in groundwater fluxes into | |
| W-411- | wetlands? | 1 |
| Wetlands | Would the mitigation wetlands provide the same functions and | 1 |
| | values as wetlands that would be impacted along Swamp Creek | |
| W-411- | and other areas of the project? | 1 |
| Wetlands | How would potential mining and processing of other elements | 1 |
| Watlanda | affect the wetlands that could be filled? | 1 |
| Wetlands | What impacts would occur on the project area from implementing | 1 |
| Watlanda | the off-site wetland mitigation? | 1 |
| Wetlands | What impacts would dewatering have on cedar swamps since | l I |
| Watlanda | water may be unavailable to the root zones? | 1 |
| Wetlands | What kind of plant and animal species live in potentially affected | 1 |
| Wild Diss | wetlands and what would be their fate if the mining occurs? | 5.5 |
| Wild Rice | What impacts would occur on wild rice from water quality | 55 |
| | degradation? | |

Page 22 of 23

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Wild Rice | What impacts would occur on wild rice in Rice Lake and other wild rice beds from leachates contaminating the wild rice beds and the materials that are to be handled in the tailings management ponds? | 17 |
| Wild Rice | What would be the impacts on the harvesting of wild rice by the Mole Lake People? | 13 |
| Wild Rice | What impacts would potential fluctuating water levels in Rice Lake have on wild rice production and survival? | 5 |
| Wild Rice | What impacts would occur on the wild rice from development occurring outside of the Mole Lake Reservation boundaries? | 8 |
| Wild Rice | How much heavy metal would accumulate in the fruit of the wild rice stalk? | 1 |
| Wild Rice | What impacts would occur on wild rice from changes in surface water geochemistry of Rice Lake and Swamp Creek? | 1 |
| Wildlife | What impacts would occur on wildlife and their habitats at the mine and down gradient? | 70 |
| Wildlife | What impacts would occur on birds and other wildlife from ingesting water in the TMA? | 6 |
| Wildlife | What impacts would occur on wildlife from transmission lines? | 4 |
| Wildlife | How would eagle and osprey nesting and range be impacted by air traffic and mine activity? | 3 |
| Wildlife | What air quality impacts would occur on waterfowl and their migration patterns from airborne tailings and dust deposition? | 3 |
| Wildlife | What air quality impacts would occur on birds of prey, songbirds, and ruby-throated hummingbird from airborne tailings and dust deposition? | 3 |
| Wildlife | What impacts would occur on deer herds and their migration patterns? | 2 |
| Wildlife | What impacts would occur on porcupine, bobcat, fisher, otter, and blackbear and their habitat? | 2 |
| Wildlife | What impacts would result from construction and operation of the railroad spur in terms of construction disturbance, railroad operations, and use of herbicides? | 2 |
| Wildlife | What would be the impact of increased noise levels on wildlife? | 2 |
| Wildlife | What air quality impacts would occur on turtles and frogs from airborne tailings and dust deposition? | 2 |
| Wildlife | What air quality impacts would occur on dragonflies, bees and pollination from airborne tailings and dust deposition? | 2 |
| Wildlife | What impacts would the proposed mining project have on bald eagles and other birds protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act? | 2 |

Page 23 of 23

| | | No. Times Issue |
|---------------------|--|-----------------|
| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | Identified |
| Wildlife | What impacts would occur on wildlife from lowered and erratic stream flow? | 1 |
| Wildlife | What impact would occur on biota as a result of mercury releases from the wetting and drying of wetland perimeters and lake shoreline? | 1 |
| Wildlife | How would a dramatic increase in civilian population around the Mole Lake Reservation impact the deer herds? | 1 |
| Wildlife | What impacts would occur on pine marten habitat and range? | 1 |
| Wildlife | What indirect impacts would occur on wildlife habitat and wildlife from short-term demand for roads, houses, shops, schools, and other services? | 1 |
| Wildlife | What impacts would waterfowl and other birds have on spreading contaminants in the TMA? | 1 |
| Wildlife | What would be the impacts on nesting birds from wetlands loss? | 1 |
| Wildlife | What bio-accumulation impacts would occur on predator species that feed on fish contaminated with pollutants discharged by the project? | 1 |

^{*} Bold numbers indicate revised totals, including responses received after submission of the Draft Scoping Document.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Page 1 of 9

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Air Quality | What are the impacts on air quality associated with the emission of cyanide and its resultant volatilization at the TMA? | 1 |
| Air Quality | What air quality impacts would the mine have on the Menominee Tribe? | 1 |
| Alternatives | NMC should look at hauling ore to Canada to be processed. | 1 |
| Alternatives | What would be the feasibility of using a Soxhlet extraction process to evaporate off wastewater or tailings water and recover the metallic salt precipitates? | 1 |
| Aquatic Resources | What would be the levels of and impacts associated with potential floral and faunal displacement? | 1 |
| Aquatic Resources | What would be the impacts on aquatic resources from the potential introduction of exotic or invasive species associated with the proposed mining and related activity? | 2 |
| Aquatic Resources | What would be the impact on aquatic resources from the treatment and discharge of human and lab waste? | 1 |
| Aquatic Resources | What would be the risk and impact associated with the proposed nature of the mitigation efforts? | 1 |
| Aquatic Resources | What would be the impact on aquatic resources from mining activity during periods of prolonged drought or regional flooding? | 1 |
| Aquatic Resources | How would changes in flow regimes in area streams affect natural spawning area for resident trout? | 1 |
| Aquatic Resources | What impacts would a potential increase in temperature in Swamp Creek and the Wolf River have on resident trout? | 1 |
| Aquatic Resources | Would potentially lowered oxygen levels in streams change the composition of forage fish species that form the food base for trout? | 1 |
| Archaeological Resources | What are the impacts on state historical markers from the mine's construction expansion? | 1 |
| Archaeological Resources | Are there any graves or human remains in the project area? What would be the impact on any such graves or remains? | 1 |
| Archaeological Resources | What impacts would occur on any rock art sites within, adjacent to, and beyond the immediate area of the proposed mine? | 1 |
| Archaeological Resources | What would be the impacts of mine water discharges as well as other mine activities on burial sites and archaeological and historical resources within, adjacent to, and beyond the immediate area of the mine, and how would these sites and resources be protected? | 1 |
| Archaeological Resources | What would be the impact on any "historic property" within and beyond the immediate mine site? | 1 |

Page 2 of 9

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Archaeological Resources | What would be the impact on archeological resources including | 1 |
| | prehistoric sites, ruins, structures, rock rings, rock features, trails, | |
| | or cleared circles, hieroglyphs, petroglyphs, geoglyphs, rock | |
| | paintings, rock carvings, ceramics, pottery, basketry, sacred | |
| | bundles, grinding tools, hunting tools, or weapons? | |
| Archaeological Resources | Have archaeological surveys been completed on affected properties? | 1 |
| Archaeological Resources | What would be the impact on the Wolf River Historic District on | 1 |
| - | the Menominee Reservation? | |
| Archaeological Resources | What archeological sites, buildings, structures, objects or | 1 |
| · · | resources in and around the proposed project site are eligible for | |
| | listing on the National Register of Historic Places? What would | |
| | be the impact of the proposed project on these archeological | |
| | properties? | |
| Cumulative Impacts | How would cumulative impacts affect Tribal Trust Resources, | 1 |
| • | Traditional Cultural Properties and other Tribal interests? | |
| Cumulative Impacts | What would be the cumulative impact of the loss and change on | 1 |
| • | drinking water systems that would be borne by local residents | |
| | including the Menominee Tribe which owns a well adjacent to the | |
| | mine site at Oak Lake? | |
| Cumulative Impacts | What would be the cumulative effect of numerous piecemeal | 1 |
| • | changes to wetlands? | |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What would be the risks associated with the uncertainty of mine | 1 |
| <i>y Cs</i> | inflow predictions? | |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What would be the changes in the timing and location of | 1 |
| , | groundwater discharges resulting from the SAS? | |
| Crown drystan Hydrology | What would be the import of a reduction of groundwater discharge | 1 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What would be the impact of a reduction of groundwater discharge | 1 |
| C 14 II111 | to surface water bodies? | 1 |
| Groundwater Hydrology | What would be the impacts on groundwater systems if the | 1 |
| | treatment plant and SAS do not accommodate the mine dewatering | |
| | rates? | |
| Groundwater Quality | What would be the impacts on groundwater quality associated | 1 |
| | with both the "no grouting" and "complete grouting" alternatives | |
| | proposed by the applicant? | |
| Groundwater Quality | What groundwater quality impacts would be caused by the | 2 |
| | introduction of the grouting system following mine closure and | |
| | reflooding of the mine? | |
| Groundwater Quality | What risks and impacts would be associated with the proposed | 1 |
| | management of the reflooded mine? | |
| Groundwater Quality | What range of risks would be associated with the uncertainty in | 1 |
| | the prediction of groundwater quality changes? | |

Page 3 of 9

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Groundwater Quality | What impacts on groundwater quality would result from the introduction of mitigation water? | 1 |
| Groundwater Quality | How would the operation of the SAS affect the chemical nature of the Wolf River? | 1 |
| Groundwater Quality | What would be the impacts on the Wolf River from potential groundwater contamination? | 3 |
| Groundwater Quality | What would be the geochemical characteristics and stability of the TMA? | 2 |
| Groundwater Quality | What would be the impacts on groundwater quality from solute transfers from the TMA and backfilled mine during and after mining? | 1 |
| Groundwater Quality | What impacts on groundwater quality would result from degraded or "bad" quality deep groundwater mixing with "good" quality shallow groundwater? | 1 |
| Groundwater Quality | What impacts could be associated with chemical reactions in dewatered bedrock and glacial sediments and their subsequent reflooding? | 1 |
| Health and Safety | What potential health and safety impacts would be associated with potential TMA failure? | 1 |
| Groundwater Quality | What would be the effects on area groundwater quality if a failure of the pipelines servicing the TMA and the wastewater treatment plant occurred? | 1 |
| Groundwater Quality | What impacts and risks would be associated with the possibility that the mine cavities would act as conduits to move dissolved contaminants out of the bedrock and into the glacial aquifer system? | 1 |
| Groundwater Quality | What would be the impacts if one or more of the proposed measures to ensure groundwater quality fails to meet its stated objectives of performance? | 1 |
| Groundwater Quality | What impacts would be associated with the potential relocating and spreading of pockets of low quality groundwater to the movement of groundwater caused by drawdown? | 1 |
| Groundwater Quality | What impacts would be associated with the release of metals and other potential contaminants from the glacial materials? | 2 |
| Groundwater Quality | What would be the impacts from the release of metals and other potential contaminants from bedrock caused by oxidation of the bedrock via airflow through the mining tunnels? | 1 |
| Groundwater Quality | What would be the impacts on the Mole Lake water and would it meet the Tribal quality standards? | 1 |
| Groundwater Quality | What would be the time period during which a risk of the impacts to groundwater would exist? | 1 |

Page 4 of 9

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Groundwater Quality | What risks and impacts on groundwater quality would be associated with the perpetual nature of the applicant's mitigation efforts? | 1 |
| Groundwater Quality | What would be the impacts on groundwater quality from the mining activity during periods of prolonged drought or regional flooding? | 1 |
| Health and Safety | What potential health and safety impacts would be related to leakage or failure of the pipelines associated with the mine? | 1 |
| Health and Safety | What potential health and safety impacts would result from public exposure to uranium, radon and other radionuclides that could potentially occur as a result of the mining operations? | 1 |
| Health and Safety | What would be the health and safety impacts associated with a potential failure of other problems with the SAS? | 1 |
| Indian Trust Assets | What impacts would occur on the on- and off-Reservation hunting, fishing, and gathering rights and activities of the FCP, the Sokaogon-Chippewa, the other Chippewa bands holding hunting, fishing and gathering rights under the 1837 and 1842 Treaties, the Menominee Nation of Wisconsin, the Onieda Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin, and the Stockbridge-Munsee? | 1 |
| Indian Trust Assets | What impacts would occur on the rights of Indian Tribes to religious freedom? | 1 |
| Indian Trust Assets | What impacts would occur on the rights of Indian Tribes to protect burial remains, cultural objects and cultural preservation? | 1 |
| Indian Trust Assets | What impacts would occur on Indian reserved water rights from mine dewatering or other aspects of the mine operation? | 1 |
| Land Use Plans and Conflicts | What would be the impacts from changes in land use on the areas impacted by the proposed mining operation? | 1 |
| Noise | What would be the noise impacts on Spirit Hill and other locations of important spiritual and cultural activities? | 1 |
| Project Description | What evidence is there that the grouting plan would work? | 1 |
| Project Description | Are there adequate measures to guarantee the performance of the necessary restoration activities? | 1 |
| Project Description | What measures would be incorporated to ensure restoration of all the essential characteristics of the area that pre-date the construction of the mine? | 1 |
| Project Description | What contingency plans would be in place in the event of failure of the SAS? | 1 |
| Project Description | Would the permit for discharge from the SAS be addressed as an EPA underground injection system? | |
| Project Description | What additional parameters would be monitored in a surface water quality-monitoring plan? | 1 |

Page 5 of 9

| | | No. Times Issue |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | Identified |
| Recreation | What would be the impacts on recreation caused by the general | 1 |
| | loss of the pristine nature of the area with the introduction of the | |
| | proposed project? | |
| Recreation | How will the impacts on recreation be measured, and what | 1 |
| | measures are available to mitigate impacts? | |
| Socioeconomics | What would be the impact of decreased natural resources for | 1 |
| | subsistence living for the native American communities? | |
| Surface Water Hydrology | How would the dynamics of surface water flow into Swamp | 1 |
| | Creek, Hemlock Creek and Outlet Creek be affected by discharge | |
| | from the SAS? What would be the effects on timing and flow as | |
| | well as overall disruption to the flow cycle of these streams? | |
| Surface Water Hydrology | Would the SAS discharge cause an inter-basin transfer? What | 1 |
| | would be the impact of such a transfer if it occurs? | |
| Surface Water Hydrology | What would be the potential changes in lake levels and the | 1 |
| , 6, | seasonal timing of lake levels for Rice Lake as a result of SAS | |
| | flows and the resulting changes in Swamp Creek flow? What | |
| | associated impacts on flora and fauna would result from changes | |
| | in Rice Lake's water levels? | |
| Surface Water Hydrology | How would impacts from potential groundwater drawdown during | 3 |
| <i>y</i> 2 <i>y</i> | and after mine operation impact the Wolf River baseline | |
| | conditions? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What are the impacts of heavy metal and other contaminant | 1 |
| | releases to surface water due to the long-term wetting and drying | |
| | of wetlands? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What are the impacts associated with the introduction of | 1 |
| ` , | mitigation water to lakes that differ in temperature, rate of flow, | |
| | and seasonal level from the native water for those lakes? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What are the surface water impacts associated with human and lab | 1 |
| | wastewater treatment? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What are the impacts of the SAS on the seasonal flow into and | 1 |
| | through Swamp Creek? What are the contamination impacts | |
| | associated with this change in seasonal flow? | |
| Surface Water Quality | How would the surface water mitigation plan impact the chemical | 1 |
| Surruss (value) | and biological characteristics of the Wolf River and affect the | _ |
| | Menominee Tribe? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What would be the impact of water temperature increases, coupled | 1 |
| Zamity | with deforestation, that could favor the growth of natural and | |
| | introduced algal species, resulting in diurnal sags in dissolved | |
| | oxygen? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What would be the changes in water chemistry during draw down, | 1 |
| Sarrace Water Quarry | such as the reduction in total suspended solids (TSS) and total | 1 |
| | dissolved solids (TDS)? | |
| | dissolved solids (1Ds): | |

Page 6 of 9

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Surface Water Quality | What impacts would occur on surface water quality from an increase in sulfate levels during mine construction? | |
| Surface Water Quality | increase in sulfate levels during mine construction? What would be the impact of interbasin transfers of water from Pickerel to Swamp Creek? | 1 |
| Surface Water Quality | What would be the difference between the natural seasonal variations of discharge in Swamp Creek and those resulting from the SAS discharge? | 1 |
| Surface Water Quality | What would be the impact of modified water level in Rice Lake resulting from the SAS discharge? | |
| Surface Water Quality | What impacts could occur on water quality from the runoff of substances during per-mine grouting procedures, i.e., chemicals used in drilling fluids, grouting materials and cement? | |
| Traditional Cultural Properties | What would be the impacts to resources that have pending traditional cultural property applications? | 1 |
| Traditional Cultural Properties | What would be the impacts on tribal cultural use of wetlands in any areas affected by the mine, especially in areas of "special natural resource interest" or areas that are in proximity to or have a direct hydrologic connection to such designated areas? | 1 |
| Traditional Cultural Properties | What impacts would occur on tribal members' use of wildlife, such as eagles and sturgeon? | 5 |
| Traditional Cultural Properties | What districts, sites, buildings, structures and objects of significant American history, architecture, archeology, engineering, and culture in and around the mine site are eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places? | 1 |
| Traditional Cultural Properties | What properties of traditional historic, religious or cultural significance to the FCP, the Sokoagon Chippewa, and other Indian tribes in the area are eligible for inclusion on the National Register? | 1 |
| Traditional Cultural Properties | What would be the impact of the proposed mine on teaching Indian youth about tribal history, religion and culture in relation to the area affected by the proposed mine? | 1 |
| Traditional Cultural Properties | Would the proposed project destroy or diminish the ability of Native Americans to perform religious, cultural and educational practices in the area? | 1 |
| Traditional Cultural Properties | Are there any human remains of descendents of Indian tribes or portions or pieces of any such remains in or around the proposed mine site? What would be the impact of the proposed project on any such remains? | 1 |
| Traditional Cultural Properties | Are there any graves, burial grounds, or burial mounds in or around the proposed project? What would be the impacts on any such graves, burial grounds or burial mounds? | 1 |

Page 7 of 9

| D D' ' I' | I C II IP | No. Times Issue |
|---------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | Identified |
| Traditional Cultural Properties | Are there any funerary objects of Indian tribes in or around the proposed mine site? What would be the impact of the proposed | I |
| Troperties | project on such objects? | |
| Traditional Cultural | Are there any sacred objects or objects of cultural patrimony in or | 1 |
| Properties | around the proposed mine site? What would be the impact of the | |
| | proposed project on such objects? | |
| Traditional Cultural | Would any federal or tribal land be impacted? How would any | 1 |
| Properties | tribal remains or objects identified on such lands be protected? | |
| Traditional Cultural | Are there any objects of antiquity of Indian tribes in or around the | 1 |
| Properties | proposed mine site? What would be the impact of the proposed | |
| m 1'' 1 0 1- 1 | project on any such objects? | 2.5 |
| Traditional Cultural | What would be the impact of the proposed project on rapids and | 25 |
| Properties | waterfalls in the area around rivers that the Indian tribes view as | |
| Tue 1:4: 1 Culture 1 | culturally significant? | 1 |
| Traditional Cultural | What would be the impact of the proposed project on medicinal | 1 |
| Properties | plants in the areas that are used in Native American healing and other ceremonies? | |
| Traditional Cultural | What would be the impact on any sites or areas which evidence | 1 |
| Properties | religious practices by tribal members? | 1 |
| Traditional Cultural | Are there any remnants of historic Native American travel routes | 1 |
| Properties Properties | used for religious observations? What would be the impact on any | 1 |
| Troperties | such routes? | |
| Traditional Cultural | What would be the impact on tribal religious observations that can | 1 |
| Properties | only occur in the location of the proposed mine site? | |
| Transportation | What would be the impact from potential use of herbicides, | 1 |
| | pesticides and salts on roads and other pathways associated with | |
| | the proposed project and related activities? | |
| Vegetation | What would be the impacts on vegetation associated with the use | 1 |
| | of salts on roads and other pathways related to mining and | |
| XX7 .1 1 | associated activities? | 2 |
| Wetlands | What are the impacts to wetlands' function of supplying dissolved | 2 |
| | organic carbon to streams? What are the associated impacts on | |
| | wildlife, vegetation and other surface water quality from impacts on this wetland function? | |
| Wetlands | What are the impacts on tribal hunting, fishing and gathering | 1 |
| Wettands | rights and activities associated with altering and/or destroying | 1 |
| | wetlands on ceded territory and replacing them with other | |
| | wetlands? | |
| Wetlands | What are the impacts of the project on seasonal wetland water | 1 |
| Juanas | levels? | • |
| Wetlands | What are the hydrology, botany and water chemistry impacts | 1 |
| | associated with changes to the seasonal wetland water levels? | _ |

Page 8 of 9

| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | No. Times Issue Identified |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Wetlands | What are the impacts associated with the loss of wetland storage areas for flood and storm water? | 1 |
| Wetlands | How will the impacts of the mine on seasonal variations in wetlands be mitigated? | 1 |
| Wetlands | Will worse case assumptions be considered for purposes of applying mitigation measures to prevent adverse impacts? | 1 |
| Wetlands | What are the impacts on wetlands from the mining activity during periods of prolonged drought or regional flooding? | 1 |
| Wetlands | Would an effective monitoring plan be required to detect subtle changes in wetland functions? | 1 |
| Wetlands | Would wetlands' ability to serve as natural biological functions, including food chain production, general habitat and nesting areas, spawning, rearing and resting areas for aquatic and land species be affected? | 1 |
| Wetlands | Would wetlands still provide the same natural drainage characteristics, sedimentation patterns, salinity distribution, flushing characteristics, or other environmental characteristics after the wetlands are filled in or drained down? | 1 |
| Wetlands | Would wetlands still be able to serve as a valuable storage areas for storms and flood waters that would otherwise degrade the quality of Swamp Creek and Rice Lake after they are filled in or drained down? | 1 |
| Wetlands | Would discharge wetlands that help maintain minimum base-flows important to aquatic resources be affected by groundwater drawdown? | 2 |
| Wetlands | Would wetlands affected by draw down or filled be able to continue to serve significant water purification functions? | 1 |
| Wetlands | Would wetlands that serve to maintain the wild rice and trout on the Mole Lake Reservation be affected? | 1 |
| Wetlands | What would be the impact of the underlying grout (or its absence) on water quality in wetlands? | 1 |
| Wetlands | What impacts would result from erosion due to deforestation, and the transport of sediment and silt into wetlands during construction of the mine? | 1 |
| Wildlife | What are the impacts to the identified moths and butterflies in the area? | 1 |
| Wildlife | What would be the impact on wildlife from the potential use of pesticides to maintain roadways, railways and the plant site generally? | |
| Wild Rice | What would be the physical, chemical and biological impacts on wild rice associated with the proposed mine? | 1 |

Page 9 of 9

| | | No. Times Issue |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|
| Resource Discipline | Issue or Concern About Resource | Identified |
| Wild Rice | What would be the impacts on wild rice associated with potential | 1 |
| | changes in other species in Rice Lake, such as lily pads and exotic | |
| | and invasive species? | |
| Wild Rice | What would be the impact on wild rice from an increase in sulfates | 1 |
| | in sediments in Rice lake during the project construction phase? | |
| Wild Rice | How would a change in water chemistry such as a reduction in | 1 |
| | dissolved organic carbon increase the potential of increased | |
| | toxicity by metals from the mine impact wild rice? | |
| Wild Rice | What would be the impact of a decrease in naturally occurring | 1 |
| | nutrients that are necessary for sustaining wild rice in Rice Lake? | |
| Wild Rice | What impact would the proposed project have on wild rice on the | 1 |
| | Forest County Potawatomi Reservation? | |

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Page 1 of 6

| Resource | # of Times Issues | Page 1 of 6 |
|--------------|-------------------|--|
| Category* | Identified | Description of Issues |
| Air Quality | 5 | What impacts would occur on air quality from development and |
| (8) | | operation of the mine? |
| , , | 2 | What impacts would occur from dust and odor around Little Sand Lake? |
| | 2 | What impact would occur on air quality from the use of coal to produce electricity? |
| | 1 | What would be the possibility of leakage of heavy metals causing acid rain? |
| | 1 | What impact would occur on air quality from chemicals used in the ore processing? |
| | 1 | What radioactivity impacts would occur on air quality from the mining activities? |
| | 1 | Would there be odors associated with the mining and increased transportation use? |
| | 1 | • What air quality impacts would the mine have on the Forest County Potawatomi Reservation given their pending Air Redesignation? |
| | 1 | What impacts would result from construction and operation of the railroad spur in terms of construction disturbance, railroad operations, and use of herbicides? |
| Alternatives | 1 | Tailings should be neutralized before being deposited anywhere. |
| (1) | 1 | Pyrite minerals should be neutralized before being deposited anywhere. |
| | 1 | Neutralized tailings and pyrite minerals should be returned to the mine cavity when mining is done. |
| | 1 | The coal pile should be placed on a prepared surface so that rainwater can be collected and treated for acid and solids. |
| Aquatic | 2 | What impacts would occur on fish in streams and lakes? |
| Resources | 1 | What impacts would occur on aquatic life? |
| (5) | | What impacts on fish and aquatic life would occur in the Wolf |
| | 1 | River? |
| | 1 | What would be the impact of chlorination on aquatic biota in the Wolf River? |
| | 1 | |
| | 1 | • What would be the impact of metal particles on the aquatic ecosystem? |
| | 1 | What impact would the mine have on fish in Little Sand Lake? |
| | 1 | Will there be a draw down of water in little sand lake affecting |
| | | aquatic resources? |

Page 2 of 6

| Resource | # of Times Issues | Fage 2 01 0 |
|----------------|-------------------|--|
| Category | Identified | Description of Issues |
| Archaeological | 1 1 | |
| Resources | 1 | What impacts would occur on cultural resources? What would be the impact on the Welf Biver Historie District on the |
| (2) | 1 | • What would be the impact on the Wolf River Historic District on the Menominee Reservation? |
| (2) | 1 | Have archaeological surveys been completed on affected properties? |
| | 1 | Will there be a Cultural Resources Management Plan developed as |
| | 1 | part of a Memorandum of Agreement? |
| Ecosystem | 2 | What would be the effect of the mine on the local ecosystem? |
| (2) | 2 | What would be the impact on the Wolf River ecosystem? |
| | _ | What would be the extent of physical, chemical and biological |
| | | effects on the ecosystem including impacts on wild rice, trout, bald |
| | 1 | eagle, sturgeon, waterfowl and mussels? |
| Groundwater | 3 | What impacts would occur on the aquifers associated with lakes and |
| Hydrology | | creeks such as Swamp Creek, Rice Lake, Deep Hole Lake, Pickerel |
| (11) | | Lake, Rolling Stone Lake, Lake Lucerne, Ground Hemlock Lake, |
| | | and Mole Lake? |
| | 2 | What impacts would occur on groundwater levels and associated |
| | | shallow lakes from mine dewatering? |
| | 2 | Would groundwater quantities affected by mining operations be |
| | | magnified under drought conditions? |
| | 2 | What impact would occur on Deep Hole Lake and on underground |
| | | drinking water? |
| | 2 | • What measures would be taken to prevent impacts on private wells? |
| | 2 | What impacts would occur on groundwater levels and the level of Little Sand Lake? |
| | 2 | What impacts would occur on groundwater quantity and quality in |
| | | wells at Little Sand Lake? |
| | 2 | What would be the area of groundwater draw down and how would |
| | | the draw down affect surface water flows and quality over the long- |
| | 1 | term? |
| | | How would water resources be protected and project-related impacts |
| | 1 | on water be prevented? |
| | | What would be the geochemical impacts on groundwater quality in the state of |
| | 1 | induced by aquifer drawdown? |
| | | • What changes on flow rates and flow direction would occur at the SAS and other mine components? |
| | 1 | What impacts would groundwater draw down have on Indian trust |
| | | assets? |
| | 1 | • What would be the impact of the grouting plan in the worst-case analysis? |
| | 1 | What impact would result from more water flowing into the mine |
| | 1 | than predicted, requiring the cone of depression to extend further |
| | | than anticipated? |

Page 3 of 6

| | | rage 3 01 o |
|--|-------------------|--|
| Resource | # of Times Issues | |
| Category | Identified | Description of Issues |
| Groundwater Hydrology (11) (continued) | 1 | What impacts would occur on groundwater quantity in private wells in the surrounding area and locations such as, Town of Ainsworth, Appleton, and Mole Lake Reservation, from groundwater draw down? |
| Groundwater Quality (11) | 4 | • What impacts would occur on groundwater quality in private wells in the surrounding area and locations such as Town of Ainsworth, Appleton, and Mole Lake Reservation from groundwater drawdown? |
| | 4 | What impacts would occur on groundwater quality from development and operation (including a leak or spill) of the tailings management area? |
| | 4 | • What groundwater quality impacts would occur over the long-term? |
| | 2 | What spill prevention measures would be used and what impacts could be associated with releases of petroleum products and hazardous materials? |
| | 1 | • What would be the impact on groundwater quality from changes in wetlands? |
| | 1 | • What would be the impact on groundwater quality from the injection of grouting cement? |
| | 1 | What would be the synergistic impact of exposed minerals on groundwater quality? |
| | 1 | How would chemicals including petroleum products and hazardous wastes be stored, handled, transported, and disposed of? |
| | 1 | • What would be the impacts on groundwater quality from solute transfers from the TMA and backfilled mine during after mining? |
| | 1 | • What would be the geochemical characteristics and stability of the TMA? |
| | 1 | What would be the groundwater quality changes from the SAS and draw down induced geochemical changes? |
| | 1 | How would discovery of additional minerals in the mined ore affect groundwater quality? |

Page 4 of 6

| Resource | # of Times Issues | rage 4 of 0 |
|----------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Category | Identified | Description of Issues |
| Indian Trust Assets (4) | 2 | What would be the changes in water quality that could impact aquatic resources, vegetation, cultural uses and cause degradation of drinking water? How would any degradation or reduction in value or utility of any |
| | 1 1 1 | trust resources be mitigated? What would be the impacts of the mine on Indian Trust assets? What adverse impacts would occur on Tribal use of the Wolf River? What would be the impacts of mine dewatering on Indian water rights? What degradation or reduction in value or utility of any trust resources, including the ecosystem necessary to support these resources would occur from the construction and operation of the |
| Noise (3) | 2 | mine? What would the mine related noise levels be at residences on Little Sand lake? What noise impacts would occur from the mining activities? |
| Project | 1 | What would be the worst-case scenario of failure of the tailing area? |
| Description (1) | 1 | • How would groundwater levels be monitored around the TMA, the project boundary, and outside of the project boundary? |
| | 1 | How would freeze-thaw damage to the TMA liner be prevented considering that the frost depth can be 8 feet? |
| Recreation (2) | 1 | • What impacts would occur on recreation at Deep Hole Lake? |
| Socioeconomics (4) | 2 | What impacts would occur on crime, land use, property values and tourism economy? |
| | 1 | • What impacts would occur on community economics from the boom and bust economy associated with the mine? |
| | 1 | What demands would occur on local services, government, roads, water, power, housing, schools, police and fire, social services resulting from increased population during the construction phase and operation phase? |
| | 1 | What would be the economic impact of a "worst-case" environmental degradation problem in the event the mining company declares bankruptcy? |
| Surface Water Hydrology | 1 | How would water resources be protected and impacts on water resources prevented? |
| (2) | 1 | What impacts would occur on the Wolf River and Lake Winnabago? |
| | 1 | What impacts would occur on streams from groundwater draw down? |

Page 5 of 6

| | | 1 age 3 01 0 |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---|
| Resource | # of Times Issues | |
| Category | Identified | Description of Issues |
| Surface Water Quality | 2 | • What short and long-term impacts would occur on the Wolf and Fox rivers? |
| (10) | 2 | What would be the impact on streams from a leak or failure of the TMA? |
| | 2 | What would be the impacts on water quality from acid mine drainage? |
| | 1 | • What would be the potential contamination of Little Sand Lake, Pickerel Lake, Rolling Stone Lake, and Rice Lake by seepage from the mine waste disposal system? |
| | 1 | • Would all flows from the mine operation be monitored for violations? |
| | 1 | • What would be the impacts on water quality in Deep Hole Lake? |
| | 1 | • What would be the short and long-term impacts of treated mine wastewater on water quality? |
| | 2 | • What would be the short and long-term impacts of drawdown and associated geochemical changes on water quality? |
| | 2 | • Would federal water quality standards and Mole Lake water quality standards be met? |
| | 1 | • What would be the short and long-term impact s of mitigation water changes in quantity and quality in rivers? |
| | 1 | • Would Mole Lake reservation water be sustained as "outstanding national resource waters"? |
| | 1 | What impacts would degraded water quality have on tribal trust assets? |
| TES Species | 2 | What impacts would occur on threatened and endangered species |
| (1) | | from development and operation of the mine? |
| ` ' | 1 | • What impacts would the proposed project have on federal candidate threatened and endangered species? |
| | 1 | • What impacts would occur on state listed and potential Federal listed plants? |
| | 1 | What impacts would occur on listed species from wetland alteration? |
| Traditional Cultural | 3 | What would be the impacts on cultural places, properties, burial sites medicinal sites and other TCPs? |
| Properties | 1 | Would unavoidable physical damage or destruction would threaten |
| (3) | 1 | traditional cultural properties? |
| | 1 | How would impacts on traditional cultural properties or trust assets be mitigated? |

Page 6 of 6

| Resource | # of Times Issues | 1 age 0 01 0 |
|--------------------|-------------------|---|
| Category | Identified | Description of Issues |
| Transportation (3) | 1 | What traffic congestion impacts would occur on the Sokaogon- Chippewa Tribe as people move into the area surrounding Mole Lake Reservation? |
| | 1 | What impacts would occur from increased rail traffic? |
| | 1 | • What air space impacts would occur from air traffic over the Mole Lake Reservation? |
| | 1 | What would be the impact of the mine on road quality and taxes? |
| | 1 | • What traffic impacts would occur from the proposed mining activities? |
| Wetlands (4) | 3 | What impacts would occur on wetlands from groundwater draw down? |
| | 2 | What impacts would occur on wetlands? |
| | 1 | • What would be the total wetland loss, functional values and replacement? |
| | 1 | • What indirect or secondary impacts would occur on wetlands as a result of the mining project? |
| | 1 | • What impacts would mitigation wells and water pumping for surface water mitigation in lakes have on wetlands? |
| | 1 | What impacts would occur on wetlands from the SAS and drawdown induced geochemical changes? |
| | 1 | What impacts would occur on wetlands from filling them to develop and operate the mine and from any associated infrastructure construction? |
| Wildlife (2) | 2 | • What impacts would occur on wildlife and their habitats at the mine and down gradient? |
| | 1 | • What impacts would occur on birds and other wildlife from ingesting water in the TMA? |
| | 1 | What air quality impacts would occur on waterfowl and their migration patterns from airborne tailings and dust deposition? |
| Wild Rice (3) | 2 | What would be the impact on Sokaogon culture if the production and quality of wild rice were degraded? |
| | 2 | • What impacts would construction, operation and closure of the mine have on wild rice? |
| | 1 | • What would be the long-term impacts of contamination and changes in geochemistry on wild rice development? |
| | 1 | • What impact would the proposed project have on wild rice and its importance to tribal cultures? |

^{*} Number in parentheses indicates the number of response forms that raised this issue.

Table A-4 Issues Eliminated From Further Analysis Crandon Mine Project EIS

Page 1 of 2

| | 1 age 1 01 2 |
|--|---|
| Issue or Concern | Reason For Elimination |
| What is the status of the pipeline alternative to the | No longer under consideration by the applicant. This |
| Wisconsin River? | feature has been replaced by the Soil Absorption |
| | System. |
| Look at a North Woods-wide assessment of the | This issue is beyond the scope of this EIS. |
| economics of no mining. | |
| Would forecasts for zinc and copper demand be | This issue is beyond the scope of the EIS and benefit |
| factored into the economic analysis and examination of | cost analysis is not required under the Council of |
| alternatives? | Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations. |
| Could development of the mine be delayed until new | This alternative does not meet CEQ requirements that |
| technologies are developed to control groundwater | alternatives have to be feasible and reasonable. |
| impacts? | |
| Would alternatives to the mine be researched and | This issue is beyond the scope of the EIS and benefit |
| compared to the proposed mine on a cost-benefit basis? | cost analysis is not required under CEQ regulations. |
| How would a discharge to the Wisconsin River affect | No longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| three rare dragonflies found below Hat Rapids Dam? | The foligor under consideration by the applicant. |
| What impacts would reduced flow in the Wolf River | No longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| watershed have on trout populations from discharging | Two tonger under consideration by the applicant. |
| water to the Wisconsin River? | |
| How would depressed zinc prices affect the feasibility | This issue is beyond the scope of the EIS and benefit |
| and viability of the Crandon Mine? | cost analysis is not required under CEQ regulations. |
| What is the market and economic analysis for zinc and | This issue is beyond the scope of the EIS and benefit |
| copper? | cost analysis is not required under CEQ regulations. |
| What would be the value of the proposed project | This issue is beyond the scope of the EIS and benefit |
| compared to the value of preserving the natural | cost analysis is not required under CEQ regulations. |
| resources of northern Wisconsin? | cost analysis is not required under CEQ regulations. |
| What would be the secondary impacts on the mine on | This issue is beyond the scope of this EIS. |
| tourism and residential populations under a North | This issue is beyond the scope of this Ets. |
| Woods-wide economic assessment? | |
| What impacts would occur from an interbasin transfer | No longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| of water (Wolf River to the Wisconsin River)? | No longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| How would a leak be found along the 38-mile pipeline | No longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| especially if it is in sandy soils or wetlands? | No longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| How much, if any, leakage would be allowed from the | No longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| pipe before the system would have to be shut down? | No longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| If pipeline had to be shut down, would the wastewater | No longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| * * | No longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| treatment plant have the capacity to handle the storage of untreated wastewater? What if there is excess | |
| water? | |
| | No langer under consideration by the applicant |
| What impacts would the proposed waste load have on the Wisconsin River? | No longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| | No language and an accordance in the discounting of |
| What would be in the treated discharge water and how | No longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| would it impact the existing condition of the Wisconsin | |
| River water and bottom structure? | |

Table A-4 Issues Eliminated From Further Analysis Crandon Mine Project EIS

Page 2 of 2

| | 1 age 2 01 2 |
|--|---|
| Issue or Concern | Reason For Elimination |
| What impacts would occur on each dam impoundment | No longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| on the Wisconsin River downstream of Hat Rapids | |
| Dam as a result of discharged pollutants? | |
| How much mercury would be discharged into the | No longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| Wisconsin River in the treated mine wastewater? | |
| What temperature impacts would occur from treated | No longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| wastewater traveling 38 miles in an underground pipe | |
| to the Wisconsin River? | |
| What impacts would occur from discharge of treated | No longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| wastewater during high runoff (flooding) conditions on | |
| the Wisconsin River? | |
| What impact would transporting live organisms via the | No longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| pipeline from one watershed (Wolf River) to another | |
| (Wisconsin River) have on disrupting the natural | |
| balance in each watershed? | |
| What impact would the discharge to the Wisconsin | No longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| River have on sediment quality? Would the micro- | |
| particles discharged in suspension increase turbidity in | |
| the Wisconsin River? Would the sediment be re- | |
| suspended? | |
| How far down the Wisconsin River would metals | No longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| discharged from the wastewater outfall be transported? | |
| What impacts would occur from drawdowns in | These impacts would not occur because the pipeline to |
| Nokomis, Willow, Jersey and Spirit reservoirs to flush | the Wisconsin River is no longer under consideration |
| additional contaminants and BOD resulting from this | by the applicant. |
| project as has happened for the paper mills? | |
| What impacts would booster stations have on air | These impacts would not occur because the pipeline to |
| quality? | the Wisconsin River and associated booster stations are |
| | no longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| What noise impacts would be associated with pipeline | These impacts would not occur because the pipeline to |
| pump stations, air vents and booster stations? How | the Wisconsin River and associated booster stations are |
| would they be sited in relation to private residences? | no longer under consideration by the applicant. |
| How would the proposed pipeline be kept from | These impacts would not occur because the pipeline to |
| freezing so serious leaks would not develop during | the Wisconsin River is no longer under consideration |
| severe winter temperatures? | by the applicant. |